ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Prevalence and Risk Factors for *Trichomonas vaginalis* Infection among Pregnant Women Seeking Primary Health Care in Sana'a City, Yemen

Abdulsalam M. Al-Mekhlafi^{1,*}, Samira M. El-Eryani¹

¹Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana'a University, Sana'a, Yemen

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the prevalence and risk factors for *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection among pregnant women seeking health care in Sana'a city.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted among pregnant women seeking health care in private clinics and governmental primary health care centers in Sana'a during the period from October 2014 to June 2015. Data on sociodemographic and clinical characteristics as well as possible risk factors of *T. vaginalis* infection were collected from 287 pregnant women using a pre-designed questionnaire by trained researchers. Vaginal discharges were then collected and examined microscopically for motile *T. vaginalis* trophozoites.

Results: The overall prevalence of *T. vaginalis* infection was 11.1%, with a higher infection rate among pregnant women aged 26-40 years old, housewives and rural residents. Age, literacy status and the age at first sexual intercourse were significantly associated with *T. vaginalis* infection among pregnant women. Presence of vaginal discharge (OR = 8.33; 95% CI: 2.47–28.03, P < 0.001), itching (OR = 2.57; 95% CI: 1.02–6.48, P = 0.027) and presence of unpleasant odor (OR = 4.37; 95% CI: 1.63–11.70, P = 0.001) were the clinical manifestations significantly associated with *T. vaginalis* among pregnant women.

Conclusions: *T. vaginalis* is prevalent among pregnant women seeking health care in Sana'a, particularly housewives, illiterate women and those coming from rural areas. Presence of vaginal discharge with unpleasant odor and itching are associated with a higher risk of infection among symptomatic women. Further large-scale studies are required to determine the prevalence and risk factors among women of the reproductive age in Yemen.

Keywords: Trichomonas vaginalis, Risk factor, Pregnancy, Health care, Vaginal discharge, Yemen

* Corresponding author: A. M. Al-Mekhlafi (<u>halkasemi@yahoo.com</u>).



© 2017 University of Science and Technology, Sana'a, Yemen. This article can be unrestrictedly used, distributed or reproduced in any medium, provided that credit is given to the authors and the journal.

1. Introduction

Sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) pose a major public health problem in both developed and developing countries. Trichomoniasis, which is caused by the protozoan parasite Trichomonas vaginalis, is one of the most common non-viral STDs in the world (1, 2). In 2008, 276.4 million cases infected with STDs were reported in the world, with about 90.0% of infected cases having occurred among people living in resource-limited settings (3). T. vaginalis infects the lower genital tract of females as well as urethra and prostate of males. The common way of infection is the direct transmission from person to person through sexual contact, but the infection can occur through toilet seats, moist towels, contaminated douche nozzles, specula or swimming pool water (4, 5).

Trichomoniasis may be asymptomatic and, therefore, infected individuals do not know that they are harboring the parasite. In fact, most males and about half of infected females infected with the parasite show no symptoms themselves but can transmit it to others. Asymptomatic trichomoniasis is usually characterized by vaginitis, urethritis, vaginal discharge, vulvar itching, irritation, premature birth and delivery of lowbirth-weight infants, postpartum endometritis, stillbirth and death (6). It may also increase the acquisition and transmission risk of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and herpes simplex virus type 2 infections (1, 2, 7–9).

Trichomoniasis can be difficult to diagnose because infected individuals, particularly males, are usually asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic carriers (10). Generally, examination of wet mounts of discharges and their cultivation are the most widely used methods for the diagnosis of *T. vaginalis* (11, 12).

Trichomoniasis is one of the most neglected diseases in Yemen, where there is the knowledge of the population about its transmission, prevention and control is still poor. In addition, there is a lack of the estimates of the infection rate and its possible risk factors. It is noteworthy that Yemen is one of lowest-income and least-developed countries in the world. Besides the neglect of preventable infectious diseases, the country has been placed in the bottom of human development category according to the latest Human Development Report issued in 2015 (3). Moreover, the World Bank ranks Yemen as one of the poorest countries, where about two-thirds of Yemeni people live in rural areas (3). Therefore, the aim of the present study was to determine the prevalence of *T. vaginalis* infection and associated risk factors among pregnant women seeking primary health care in Sana'a city

2. Methods

2.1. Study design, population and setting

This cross-sectional study was conducted among pregnant women seeking routine health care in private clinics or governmental health care centers in Sana'a city in the period from October 2014 to June 2015. Sana'a city, the capital of Yemen, is located in the northern part of Yemen at the geographic coordinates of 15° 20' 54''N and 44° 12' 23 E. Inclusion criteria were pregnant women in any trimester, who were aged between 17 and 47 years old and referred to private obstetrics clinics or governmental primary health care centers as a result of having genitourinary tract infections.

2.2. Sample size calculation

The sample size was calculated by Epi Info^M, version 7.1.3 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, USA), using the following parameters: population size of 2 million, 5.0% con-



fidence limits, 95.0% confidence level and 1.0 design effect. The frequency of outcome was considered as 20.0%. Accordingly, the minimum sample size required was 246 women. However, 287 women were included after adding a rate of 15.0% of the calculated sample size was added to overcome a possible non-response, unusable data or other limitations.

2.3. Data collection

Data on the sociodemographic and clinical characteristics as well as possible risk factors predisposing to *T. vaginalis* infection were collected using a predesigned questionnaire by trained researchers. Physicians took samples of vaginal discharges from pregnant women using sterile non-absorbent cotton swabs. Specimens were then labeled with the patient's name and identification number, date and place of collection.

2.4. Wet mount examination

Specimen wet saline mounts were immediately examined for motile *T. vaginalis* trophozoites under the 10X and 40X objectives of a light microscope by an experienced laboratory microscopist.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by IBM SPSS Statistics, version 21.0 (IBM Inc., Armonk, NY, USA). Differences and associations between categorical variables were tested using Pearson's chi-square test *and* considered statistically significant at *P*values <0.05. In addition, the odds ratios (ORs) and their corresponding 95 % confidence interval (CI) were also calculated. Multivariable analysis using a logistic regression model was also performed to identify independent predictors of *T. vaginalis* infection among pregnant women.

3. Results

3.1 Characteristics of the study population

The mean age of the patients was 29.1 ± 7.2 years (range: 17–47). The majority of participants were aged between 26 and 40 years (54.4%) followed by those aged between 17 and 25 years (39.0%). The majority of pregnant women were literate (79.1%; 227/287), housewives (71.1%; 204/287) and urban residents (79.9%; 222/278) (Table 1).

Table (1) also shows a spectrum of clinical manifestations reported by symptomatic pregnant women. Vaginal itching was the most frequent complaint reported by 64.8% (186/287) of pregnant women, whereas lower abdominal pain was the least frequently reported complaint (29.3%).

3.2. Prevalence of T. vaginalis infection among pregnant women

The overall prevalence of *T. vaginalis* infection among pregnant women was 11.1% (32/287). Table 2 shows higher infection rates among women who were aged between 26 and 40 years old (16.6%; 26/156), illiterate (21.7%; 13/60), housewives (12.3%; 25/204) and residents in rural areas (18.5%; 12/56) (Table 2).

3.3. Risk factors associated T. vaginalis among pregnant women

Regarding the sociodemographic characteristics, univariate analysis showed that age (OR = 5.14; 95% CI: 1.75-15.09, P = 0.001), woman literacy status (OR = 3.02; 95% CI: 1.39-6.56, P = 0.006), age at first sexual intercourse (OR = 2.95; 95% CI: 1.17-7.60, P = 0.022) were significantly associated with *T. vaginalis* infection among pregnant women, where higher infection rates were more likely among women who were between 26 and 47 years, illiterate and aged 25 years or older at



first sexual intercourse (Table 3). However, multivariable analysis identified age and literacy status of the women as independent risk factors for acquiring *T. vaginalis* infection.

 Table 1. Characteristics of pregnant women seeking health care in

 Sana'a - Yemen (2014–2015)*

Age (years) Mean (range): 29.1 ± 7.2 (17-47) 17-25 112 (39.2) 26-40 156 (54.3) 41-47 19 (6.5) Education 60 (20.9) Primary 66 (23.0) Secondary 116 (40.4) College 45 (15.7) Occupation 42 (14.6) Private job 42 (14.6) Private job 41 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence** 121 (42.2) Ves 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasart of of discharge Yes Yes 168 (58.5) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasart of of discharge Yes Yes 168 (58.5) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasart of of discharge Yes Yes 168 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower above minal pain 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8)	Variable		Frequency (%)			
Age (years) Mean (range): $29.1 \pm 7.2 (17-47)$ 17-25 112 (39.2) 26-40 156 (54.3) 41-47 19 (6.5) Education 60 (20.9) Primary 66 (23.0) Secondary 116 (40.4) College 45 (15.7) Occupation 41 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence** 204 (71.1) Presence of vaginal discharge 222 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence of vaginal discharge 222 (79.9) No 121 (42.2) Urban 222 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence of vaginal discharge 204 (71.1) Vaginal Liburg Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasator of discharge 203 (70.7) Vaginal Liburg Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) 203 (70.7)	variable		Trequency (70)			
Mean (range): 29.1 ± 7.2 (J7-47) 17-25 112 (39.2) 26-40 156 (54.3) 41-47 19 (6.5) Education 60 (20.9) Primary 66 (23.0) Secondary 116 (40.4) College 45 (15.7) Occupation 41 (14.3) Private job 41 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence** 222 (79.9) Rural 26 (20.1) Presence of vaginal discharge 222 (79.9) Rural 26 (20.1) Presence of vaginal discharge 20.1 Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasator of discharge 20.1 Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes Yes 186 (64.8) No 120 (41.8) Ko 120 (41.8) Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Ko 120 (41.8) Ko 120 (41.8)	Age (years)					
17-25 112 (39.2) 26-40 156 (54.3) 41-47 19 (6.5) Education 60 (20.9) Primary 66 (23.0) Secondary 116 (40.4) College 45 (15.7) Occupation 42 (14.6) Private job 42 (14.6) Private job 41 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence** 222 (79.9) Rural 262 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 222 (79.9) Rural 262 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 20.1 Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleas=voor of discharge 20.1 Yes 188 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes 120 (41.8) Yes 120 (41.8) Ko 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8)		Mean (range): 29.1 ± 7.2 (1	7-47)			
26-40 156 (54.3) 41-47 19 (6.5) Education 60 (20.9) Primary 66 (23.0) Primary 66 (23.0) Secondary 116 (40.4) College 45 (15.7) Occupation 42 (14.6) Private job 42 (14.6) Private job 42 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence** 222 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence /vaginal discharge 222 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence /vaginal discharge 204 (71.1) Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleas=tor of discharge 204 (71.1) Yes 168 (58.5) No 101 (35.2) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower tablest 203 (70.7)		17-25	112 (39.2)			
41-47 19 (6.5) Education 60 (20.9) Primary 66 (23.0) Secondary 116 (40.4) College 45 (15.7) Occupation 60 (20.9) Occupation 42 (14.6) Private job 41 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence** 222 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 56 (20.1) Varian 56 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasator of discharge 19 (41.5) Yes 168 (58.5) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasator of discharge 19 (41.5) Yes 168 (58.5) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower aburinal pain 120 (41.8) Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower aburinal pain 203 (70.7)		26-40	156 (54.3)			
Education Illiterate 60 (20.9) Primary 66 (23.0) Secondary 116 (40.4) College 45 (15.7) Occupation 60 (20.9) Occupation 42 (14.6) Private job 42 (14.6) Private job 41 (14.3) Housewife 202 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence + 56 (20.1) Varial 56 (20.1) Presence + 56 (20.1) Varial 56 (20.1) No 121 (42.2) Varial 120 (41.8) No 121 (42.2) Varial 130 (30.1) Varial 119 (41.5) Varial 101 (35.2) No 101 (35.2) No 101 (35.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower ab/minal pain 120 (41.8) Kes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower ab/minal pain 120 (41.8) Lower ab/minal pain 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8)		41-47	19 (6.5)			
Illiterate 60 (20.9) Primary 66 (23.0) Secondary 116 (40.4) College 45 (15.7) Occupation 42 (14.6) Private job 42 (14.6) Private job 41 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence** 204 (71.1) Residence* 56 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasator of discharge 119 (41.5) Yes 168 (58.5) No 119 (41.5) Yes 168 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Kes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8)	Education					
Primary 66 (23.0) Secondary 116 (40.4) College 45 (15.7) Occupation 42 (14.6) Private job 42 (14.6) Private job 41 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence* 222 (79.9) Rural 26 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 56 (20.1) Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasat odor of discharge 19 (41.5) Yes 168 (58.5) No 101 (35.2) Pysuria 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Ves 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8)		Illiterate	60 (20.9)			
Secondary 116 (40.4) College 45 (15.7) Occupation 42 (14.6) Private job 41 (14.3) Private job 204 (71.1) Residence* 222 (79.9) Rural 202 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 222 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 223 (79.9) Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasator of discharge 223 (79.9) Yes 168 (58.5) No 101 (35.2) No 101 (35.2) No 101 (35.2) No 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Kes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8)		Primary	66 (23.0)			
College45 (15.7)OccupationGovernmental job42 (14.6)Private job41 (14.3)Housewife204 (71.1)Residence**222 (79.9)Rural26 (20.1)Presence vaginal discharge166 (57.8)Yes166 (57.8)No121 (42.2)Unpleasant of discharge199 (41.5)Yes168 (58.5)No119 (41.5)Vaginal it/ing186 (64.8)No101 (35.2)Dysuria167 (58.2)No167 (58.2)No160 (41.8)Lower aburnal pain203 (70.7)		Secondary	116 (40.4)			
Occupation 42 (14.6) Private job 42 (14.3) Private job 41 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence** 204 (71.1) Residence* 222 (79.9) Rural 222 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 166 (57.8) Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasation of discharge 199 (41.5) Yes 168 (58.5) No 119 (41.5) Vaginal itage 101 (35.2) No 101 (35.2) No 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8) Kese 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		College	45 (15.7)			
Governmental job 42 (14.6) Private job 41 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence* 222 (79.9) Rural 222 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence / vaginal discharge 56 (20.1) Vaginal discharge 121 (42.2) Vapleasa-totor of discharge 168 (58.5) Vaginal it-totor of discharge 199 (41.5) Vaginal it-totor of discharge 199 (41.5) Vaginal it-totor of discharge 199 (41.5) Vaginal it-totor of discharge 101 (35.2) No 101 (35.2) No 102 (41.8) No 120 (41.8) Mo 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8)	Occupatio	n				
Private job 41 (14.3) Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence* 222 (79.9) Rural 262 (01.1) Presence vaginal discharge 56 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 121 (42.2) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasator of discharge 168 (58.5) Vaginal itation of discharge 199 (41.5) Vaginal itation of discharge 199 (41.5) Vaginal itation of discharge 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Pres 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Itation of discharge 160 (57.8) No 101 (35.2) Pres 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8) Itation of discharge 120 (41.8)		Governmental job	42 (14.6)			
Housewife 204 (71.1) Residence** 222 (79.9) Rural 262 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 56 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasatt odor of discharge 168 (58.5) Vaginal itoria discharge 199 (41.5) Vaginal itoria discharge 199 (41.5) Vaginal itoria discharge 101 (35.2) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria 167 (58.2) No 160 (41.8) No 160 (59.3) Pies 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Koe 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		Private job	41 (14.3)			
Residence** 222 (79.9) Rural 222 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 166 (57.8) Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasator of discharge 19 (41.5) Yes 168 (58.5) No 19 (41.5) Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Vaginal total paire 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8) No 203 (70.7)		Housewife	204 (71.1)			
Urban 222 (79.9) Rural 56 (20.1) Presence - vaginal discharge 166 (57.8) Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasator of discharge 19 (41.5) Yes 168 (58.5) No 119 (41.5) Vaginal it-trig 190 (41.6) Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) No 120 (41.8) Ino 120 (41.8) No 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)	Residence	**				
Rural 56 (20.1) Presence vaginal discharge 106 (57.8) Yes 121 (42.2) Unpleasat odor of discharge 121 (42.2) Yes 168 (58.5) No 119 (41.5) Vaginal itbing 190 (41.5) Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria 167 (58.2) No 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abummat pain 120 (41.8) No 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		Urban	222 (79.9)			
Presence of vaginal discharge Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasant odor of discharge 121 (42.2) Yes 168 (58.5) Yes 168 (58.5) No 119 (41.5) Vaginal itching 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria 167 (58.2) No 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abominal pain 24 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		Rural	56 (20.1)			
Yes 166 (57.8) No 121 (42.2) Unpleasatt odor of discharge 168 (58.5) Yes 168 (58.5) No 119 (41.5) Vaginal itbirg 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria 167 (58.2) No 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abdrimal pain 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)	Presence	of vaginal discharge				
No 121 (42.2) Unpleasant odor of discharge Yes 168 (58.5) No 119 (41.5) Vaginal itching 199 (41.6) Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abdominal pain Yes Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		Yes	166 (57.8)			
Unpleasant odor of discharge Yes 168 (58.5) No 119 (41.5) Vaginal itbing 186 (64.8) Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abbinnal pain Yes Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		No	121 (42.2)			
Yes 168 (58.5) No 119 (41.5) Vaginal itching 186 (64.8) Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria Yes Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abdominal pain Yes Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)	Unpleasar	nt odor of discharge				
No 119 (41.5) Vaginal itching 120 (41.6) Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abdominal pain Yes Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		Yes	168 (58.5)			
Vaginal itching I86 (64.8) Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria I67 (58.2) Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abdominal pain Yes Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		No	119 (41.5)			
Yes 186 (64.8) No 101 (35.2) Dysuria - Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abdominal pain - Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)	Vaginal ite	ching				
No 101 (35.2) Dysuria 101 (35.2) Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abdominal pain Yes Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		Yes	186 (64.8)			
Dysuria Id7 (58.2) Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abdominal pain Yes Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		No	101 (35.2)			
Yes 167 (58.2) No 120 (41.8) Lower abdominal pain Yes Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)	Dysuria					
No 120 (41.8) Lower abdominal pain Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		Yes	167 (58.2)			
Lower abdominal pain 84 (29.3) Yes 82 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)		No	120 (41.8)			
Yes 84 (29.3) No 203 (70.7)	Lower abdominal pain					
No 203 (70.7)		Yes	84 (29.3)			
		No	203 (70.7)			

*, Total women included were 287; **, residence of nine cases was missing.

Table 2. Prevalence of *T. vaginalis* infection among pregnant womenseeking health care in Sana'a - Yemen (2014–2015)

Variable		Ν	n (%)
Overall		287	32 (11.1)
Age (yea	rs)		
	17–25	112	4 (3.6)
	26-40	156	26 (16.6)
	41-47	19	2 (10.5)
Educatio	on		
	Illiterate	60	13 (21.7)
	Primary	66	3 (4.5)
	Secondary	116	14 (12.1)
	College	45	2 (4.4)
Occupation			
	Governmental job	42	5 (11.9)
	Private job	41	2 (4.9)
	Housewife	204	25 (12.3)
Residence			
	Urban	222	20 (0.9)
	Rural	56	12 (18.5)

N, number examined; *n*, number positive.

On the other hand, occupation (OR = 1.54; 95% CI: 0.64–3.72, P = 0.224) and family size (OR = 1.39; 95% CI: 0.66–2.96, P = 0.249), the type of husband's job (OR = 1.42; 95% CI: 0.65–3.12, P = 0.250) and seeking health care for genitourinary complaints (OR = 1.41; 95% CI: 0.64–3.15, P = 0.257) were not significantly associated with *T. vaginalis* infection among pregnant women. Multivariable analysis identified age group, literacy status and causing sterility as an independent risk factor for *T. vaginalis* infection among pregnant women (Table 3).

3.4. Association of clinical manifestations with T. vaginalis infection among pregnant women

Table (4) shows that presence of vaginal discharge (OR = 8.33; 95% CI: 2.47–28.03, P < 0.001), presence of itching (OR = 2.57; 95% CI: 1.02–6.48, P = 0.027) and presence of unpleasant odor (OR = 4.37; 95% CI: 1.63–11.70, P = 0.001) were the clinical manifestations significantly associated with *T. vaginalis* infection among pregnant women.



Table 3. Factors associated with T. vaginalis infection among preg	3-
nant women in Sana'a city -Yemen (2014–2015)	

Variable	N	n (%)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> - value	
Age (years)					
17-25	112	4 (3.6)	Reference		
26-47	175	28 (12.5)	5.14 (1.75-15.09)	0.001*	
Literacy status					
Literate	227	19 (8.4)	Reference		
Illiterate	60	13 (21.7)	3.02 (1.39–6.56)	0.006*	
Occupation					
Official	84	7 (8.3)	Reference		
House wife	203	25 (12.3)	1.54 (0.64–3.72)	0.224	
Residence					
Urban	222	20 (0.9)	Reference		
Rural	56	12 (18.5)	2.19 (0.96-5.00)	0.053	
Family size (me	mbers)	12 (1010)			
≤6	190	19 (10.0)	Reference		
>6	97	13 (13.4)	1.39 (0.66–2.96)	0.249	
Type of huchan	d'e iob		. ,		
Gov	110	10 (91)	Reference		
Private	177	22 (12.4)	1.42 (0.65-3.12)	0.250	
		()	(0.00 0)		
Age at first sexu	ial inte	ercourse			
≤25	257	25 (9.7)	Reference		
>25	29	7 (24.1)	2.95 (1.15-7.60)	0.029	
Seeking care for genitourinary complaints					
Yes	215	22 (10.2)	Reference		
No	72	10 (13.9)	1.41 (0.64-3.15)	0.257	

N, Number examined; *n*,number positive ; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; *Confirmed as independent risk factors by multivariable analysis

Table 4. Association of certain clinical manifestations with *T. vaginalis* infection among pregnant women in Sana'a city - Yemen (2014–2015)

Variable	N	n (%)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -	
				value	
Presence of dischar	rge				
No	121	3 (2.5)	Reference		
Yes	166	29 (17.5)	8.33 (2.47-28.03)	< 0.001*	
Dysuria					
No	120	12 (10.0)	Reference		
Yes	167	20 (12.0)	1.22 (0.57-2.61)	0.372	
Presence of itching					
No	101	6 (5.9)	Reference		
Yes	186	26 (14.0)	2.57 (1.02–6.48)	0.027	
Presence of unpleasant odor					
No	119	5 (4.2)	Reference		
Yes	168	27 (16.1)	4.37 (1.63-11.70)	0.001*	
Presence of lower abdominal pain					
No	203	20 (9.9)	Reference		
Yes	84	12 (14.3)	1.53 (0.71-3.28)	0.188	
N number examined: n number positive: OP odds ratio: CL confi-					

N, number examined; *n*, number positive; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; *Statistically significant at P < 0.05

4. Discussion

Up to the best of our knowledge, the present study was the first to explore the prevalence and risk factors associated with *T. vaginalis* among pregnant women in Sana'a. Light microscopy reveals *T. vaginalis* prevalence of 11.1% among pregnant women attending to health care facilities in Sana'a. This finding is comparable to those recently reported from Brazil among rural women seeking primary health care (10.5%) and among female patients from gynecology departments (9.0%) (10,13). In addition, it is consistent with those reported among Tanzanian women and their male partners (10.7%) in a community-based study and among socially-marginalized Peruvian females (9.1%) (1, 14).

In the present study, infection rate was found to increase with the age, where pregnant women aged between 26 and 47 years old showed the highest infection rate. This finding agrees with those reported in previous studies from Iran (15, 16). This could be partly attributed to biologic changes in older women as one of the predisposing factors to the parasite growth or longer duration of infectiousness and resistance to treatment.

The higher proportion of *T. vaginalis* among rural than urban pregnant women (18.5% *vs.* 9.0%, respectively) is consistent with several studies from different countries, including Mozambique (17), Palestine (18), Argentina (19) and the United States (20). The variation in *T. vaginalis* prevalence rates among different societies could be attributed to a number of factors, including sexual hygiene, sociodemographic characteristics and diagnostic tests used.

Although limited by the nature of discharge examination by light microscopy that might miss light infections, the present study could be considered a preliminary one exploring the status of *T. vaginalis* infection among Yemeni pregnant women. Therefore, further large-scale communi-



ty-based studies are recommended using more advanced diagnostic procedures such as the cultivation of the parasite and its detection by molecular techniques.

5. Conclusions

The present study reveals a low prevalence of *T. vaginalis* as detected by light microscopy among Yemeni pregnant women seeking health care, which is comparable to several other developing countries. Age and literacy status of pregnant women are independent predictors of infection, with a higher risk of contracting infection among older and illiterate women. Of clinical manifestations of symptomatic infections, presence of vaginal discharge, unpleasant odor and vaginal itching are associated with the presence T. *vaginalis* infection among Yemeni pregnant women.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the study participants and health staff at the health facilities in Sana'a city, Yemen, for their cooperation during this study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests associated with this article.

Ethical approval

The protocol of the study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana'a University, Yemen. Participation of women was voluntary after explaining to them the aim of the study and obtaining their informed consent prior to data and sample collection.

References

- Leon SR, Konda KA, Bernstein KT, Pajuelo JB, Rosasco AM, Caceres CF, et al. *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection and associated risk factors in a socially-marginalized female population in coastal Peru. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2009; 2009: 752437. <u>DOI • PubMed</u> • <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Petrin D, Delgaty K, Bhatt R, Garber G. Clinical and microbiological aspects of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. Clin Microbiol Rev 1998; 11: 300–17. <u>PubMed</u> • <u>Google Scholar</u>
 - World Health Organization. Global incidence and preva-



Faro S, Soper DE. Infectious diseases in women. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 2001.

- Pereira-Neves A, Benchimol M. *Trichomonas vaginalis*: in vitro survival in swimming pool water samples. Exp Parasitol 2008; 118: 438–41. <u>DOI</u> • <u>PubMed</u> • <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Schwebke JR, Burgess D. Trichomoniasis. Clin Microbiol Rev 2004;17: 794–803. DOI

 PubMed
 Google Scholar
- Jackson DJ, Rakwar JP, Bwayo JJ, Kreiss JK, Moses S. Urethral *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection and HIV-1 transmission. Lancet 1997; 350:1076. <u>DOI</u> • <u>PubMed</u> • <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>
- McClelland RS, Sangare L, Hassan WM, Lavreys L, Mandaliya K, Kiarie J, et al. Infection with *Trichomonas vaginalis* increases the risk of HIV-1 acquisition. J Infect Dis 2007; 195: 698–702. <u>DOI • PubMed</u> • <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Van Der Pol B, Kwok C, Pierre-Louis B, Rinaldi A, Salata RA, Chen PL, van de Wijgert J, Mmiro F, Mugerwa R, Chipato T, Morrison CS. *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection and human immunodeficiency virus acquisition in African women. J Infect Dis 2008; 197: 548–54. <u>DOI • PubMed • Google Scholar</u>
- Lima MC, Albuquerque TV, Barreto Neto AC, Rehn VN. Prevalence and independent risk factors for trichomoniasisin women receiving primary health care. Acta Paul Enferm 2013; 26: 331–7. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Borchardt KA, Zhang MZ, Shing H, Flink K. A comparison of the sensitivity of the InPouch TV, Diamond's and Trichosel media for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. Sex Transm Infect 1997; 73: 297–8. <u>DOI</u> • <u>PubMed</u> • <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>
- Wiese W, Patel SR, Patel SC, Ohl CA, Estrada CA. A meta-analysis of the Papanicolaou smear and wet mount for the diagnosis of vaginal trichomoniasis. Am J Med 2000; 108: 301–8. DOI • PubMed • Google Scholar
- Ambrozio CL, Nagel AS, Jeske S, Bragança GC, Borsuk S, Villela MM. *Trichomonas vaginalis* prevalence and risk factors for women in southern Brazil. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo 2016; 58 : 61. <u>DOI</u> • <u>PubMed</u> • <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Klinger EV, Kapiga SH, Sam NE, Aboud S, Chen CY, Ballard RC, et al. A community-based study of risk factors for *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection among women and their male partners in Moshi urban district, northern Tanzania. Sex Transm Dis 2006 ;33: 712–8. <u>DOI PubMed Google Scholar</u>
- Matini M, Rezaie S, Mohebali M, Maghsood A, Rabiee S, Fallah M, Rezaeian M. Prevalence of *Trichomonas vaginalis* Infection in Hamadan City, Western Iran. Iran J Parasitol 2012; 7: 67-72. DOI

 PubMed

 Google Scholar
- Salmani R, Baghchesaraie H, Amini B. Prevalence of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection among women referred to laboratories in Zanjan, 2010. J Res Develop Nurs Midwif 2012; 9: 69–75. DOI

 <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Menéndez C, Castellsagué X, Renom M, Sacarlal J, Quintó L, Lloveras B, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of sexually transmitted infections and cervical neoplasia in women from a rural area of southern Mozambique. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2010; 2010: 609315. <u>DOI</u> <u>PubMed</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>



3

© 2017 University of Science and Technology, Sana'a, Yemen. This article can be unrestrictedly used, distributed or reproduced in any medium, provided that credit is given to the authors and the journal.

Al-Mekhlafi & El-Eryani, Yemeni J Med Sci 2017; 11: 8–14. https://doi.org/10.20428/YJMS.11.1.A2

- Houso Y, Farraj MA, Ramlawi A, Essawi T. Detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in vaginal swab clinical samples from Palestinian women by culture. ISRN Microbiol 2011; 2011: 872358. DOI • PubMed • Google Scholar
- Perazzi BE, Menghi CI, Coppolillo EF, Gatta C, Eliseth MC, de Torres RA, et al. Prevalence and comparison of diagnostic methods for *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in pregnant women in Argentina. Korean J Parasitol 2010; 48: 61– 5. DOI • PubMed • Google Scholar
- Helms DJ, Mosure DJ, Metcalf CA, Douglas JJ, Malotte CK, Paul SM, et al. Risk factors for prevalent and incident *Trichomonas vaginalis* among women attending three sexually transmitted disease clinics. Sex Transm Dis 2008; 35: 484–8. <u>DOI</u> <u>PubMed</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>



© 2017 University of Science and Technology, Sana'a, Yemen. This article can be unrestrictedly used, distributed or reproduced in any medium, provided that credit is given to the authors and the journal.