Investigating of AI tools' Enhancement on the English Writing Skills among Non-Native Speakers

Mohammed Hamoud Ghannam[1]
Afaf Alwan[1]
Baleid Taha Shamsan [1,2, *]
Ayat Abdullah[1]
Enas Ameen[1]
Aya Hassan[1]
Mlak Faez[1]
Ayman Hatem[1]
Bushra Abdullah Rashed [1]

[1] Department of English, Faculty of Administrative and Human Sciences, University of Science and Technology, Aden – Yemen

[2] Department of English, Faculty of Education, Sciences and Arts, Taiz University

* Corresponding author. E-mail: b.taha@ust.edu

Investigating of AI tools' Enhancement on the English Writing Skills among Non-Native Speakers

Abstract:

This document addresses, using examples of popular AI tools including Grammarly and Hemingway, how such similar tools assist their use in improving non-native English learners' writing through real-time feedback on grammatical, syntactical, vocabulary-related, coherence, and clarity issues. Interviews were conducted with students and language instructors and together with analysis of the results underscore several issues-both challenges and advantages being that of implementing AI in writing education.

Research indicates that the tools can correct writing, improve the confidence of a learner, motivate a learner to take risks in self-correction, and foster skills in making corrections when errors appear. Negative aspects include feeding dependency and difficulties that can arise with dealing with intricate aspects of language nuances, cultural subtleties, and context-specific expressions. It is thus recommended that AI tools should support and not substitute what is going on in traditional writing instruction, thus implying the integration of AI feedback into teachers' practices for personalized use, all while assuming a critical stance toward it.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, English writing skills, non-native speakers, grammar correction, writing autonomy, language learning technology, qualitative research, and educational tools.*

دراسة تأثير الذكاء الاصطناعي في تحسين مهارات الكتابة باللغة الإنجليزية لغير الناطقين بها

محمد حمود غنام [1]

عفاف علوان [1]

بلعيد طه شمسان [1,2,*]

آيات عبد الله [1]

إيناس أمين [1]

آية حسن [1]

ملاك فايز [1]

أيمن حاتم [1]

بشرى عبد الله [1]

الملخص:

يتناول هذا البحث دور أدوات الذكاء الاصطناعي الشائعة، مثل *Grammarly*و*Hemingway*، في تحسين مهارات الكتابة لدى متعلمي اللغة الإنجليزية من غير الناطقين بها. تظهر الدراسة كيف تساهم هذه الأدوات في تحسين الكتابة من خلال تقديم ملاحظات في الوقت الفعلي تركز على المشكلات النحوية والمفردات والتماسك والوضوح اللغوي.

تم إجراء مقابلات مع الطلاب ومدرسي اللغة، إلى جانب تحليل النتائج، مما أبرز التحديات والمزايا المرتبطة باستخدام الذكاء الاصطناعي في تعليم الكتابة. تشير النتائج إلى أن هذه الأدوات يمكن أن تسهم في تصحيح الكتابة، وتعزيز ثقة المتعلم، وتحفيزه على المخاطرة بالتصحيح الذاتي، وتطوير مهاراته في معالجة الأخطاء. ومع ذلك، تطرقت الدراسة إلى بعض الجوانب السلبية، مثل الاعتماد المفرط على هذه الأدوات، والصعوبات التي قد تواجهها في التعامل مع التعقيدات اللغوية الدقيقة، والدقة الثقافية، والتعبيرات السياقية.

بناءً على ذلك، توصي الدراسة باستخدام أدوات الذكاء الاصطناعي كوسيلة داعمة للتعليم التقليدي للكتابة، وليس كبديل عنه. كما تؤكد على أهمية دمج ملاحظات الذكاء الاصطناعي في ممارسات التدريس بشكل نقدي، مع التركيز على دور المعلم في توجيه الاستخدام الفعّال لهذه الأدوات.

الكلمات المفتاحية: *الذكاء الاصطناعي، مهارات الكتابة باللغة الإنجليزية، الناطقين بغيرها، التصحيح النحوي، استقلالية الكتابة، تكنولوجيا تعلم اللغة، البحث النوعي، الأدوات التعليمية.*

[1] قسم اللغة الإنجليزية، كلية العلوم الإدارية والإنسانية، جامعة العلوم والتكنولوجيا، عدن – اليمن.

[2] قسم اللغة الإنجليزية، كلية التربية والعلوم والآداب، جامعة تعز.

[*] عنوان المراسلة: b.taha@ust.edu

Introduction

In fact, AI has been insatiably rising and, in general terms, transforming all sectors including education. More especially, it has been creating headlines regarding its promise in changing the future of language learning through providing individuals unfamiliar with a linguistic innovative way of learning it-offering the same potential benefit for learning English, a language with extremely difficult issues in the field of grammar, syntax, vocabulary, and cultural implications. Writing, being one of the most challenging skills to master in language acquisition, is particularly problematic for non-native learners. Such problems as sentence structure, grammatical errors, coherence, and restricted vocabulary might be an obstacle for students to produce clear, effective written English. One major thing about traditional class-based language instruction is that it might be effective, if not impossible, particularly in classes of very large numbers or many groups or where resources are simply limited. Hence, the integration of AI-powered tools in teaching English promises great complementarity of traditional approaches to relieve perennial writing challenges.

Examples of AI-driven writing tools, such as Grammarly, ProWritingAid, and Hemingway, have been developed to provide real-time automated feedback on writing tasks. These platforms rely on advanced algorithms and NLP in order to analyze text, locate errors, and provide suggested amendments related to grammar, vocabulary, syntax, writing style, and even tone. Such a tool would lead the learner to enhance clarity, fluency, and correctness of their written output. These can help non-native speakers to fill the gaps of misunderstanding issues, considering the immediate corrections in the way that helps them grasp conventional writing style in English. In other words, AI tools for writing let learners be more proactive and offer a kind of self-directed learning that promotes greater independence and autonomy in the process of writing.

AI tools still remain deficient in the higher-level interface for writing, such as in coherence and argumentation. This is substantiated by Ellis's research (2019) because AI tools can correct just basic grammar errors, but higher-order skills in writing are once again inaccessible to them. "AI has yet to develop a comprehensive understanding of discourse-level features, such as the structure of an argument or the logical flow of ideas" (Ellis, 2019, p. 78). There are thus some parts that human teachers need to instruct students by offering advice about how to create more complex pieces of writing concerning critical thought, creativity, and logical ordering.

Research proposes that despite the challenges, AI technology may also supplement powerful traditional teaching. Griffiths (2018) states that such AI tools may benefit as "supplementary resources," as they are called, to help prepare a student in writing but never replace the teacher's role. And those qualities in writing-such as voice, tone, and argumentation-where human guidance is important supplemented by AI: feedback and personalization while afford instant correction.

Thus, a student would get a blend of personalized automated feedback and critical thoughts of the expert instructor (Hirsch, 2020).

In a nutshell, AI tools are a strong novelty in language education, potentially improving the writing skills of non-native speakers of English in earnest. At the same time, this integration into learning environments requires a cautious weighing of strengths and limitations. And with further development, AI should be balanced with human elements in teaching so that it augments, rather than replaces, mainstream pedagogical approaches. This research will add to the growing discussion of the place of AI in language learning and provide suggestions on how these could be used effectively within the context of teaching English writing.

Literature review

Artificial Intelligence tools for language learning have gained considerable attention in the past few years, particularly pertaining to improvements in the English writing of non-native speakers. Many researchers have come up with numerous benefits brought about by AI in attempting to support writing development for language learners. Now, these tools are heading towards becoming increasingly useful in the development of non-native writers of the language, providing real-time feedback and grammatical corrections. AI rather seems to bear several advantages, but other limitations are found in the aspects of understanding context and developing creativity and critical thinking.

The use of AI tools has an added advantage: the immediacy with which responses or feedback are provided, and the personalization of such feedback, facilitate learners to identify and eliminate writing errors themselves. Channa and Tarmizi (2020) argue that AI-powered tools like Grammarly and ProWritingAid have facilitated receiving "instant feedback on grammatical errors, sentence structure, and vocabulary usage," which will help them improve over time. It is said that immediate feedback makes learners aware of general errors as well as encourages them to self-correct, which plays a significant role in language learning (Bergmann & Sams, 2012). In addition, they provided personalized recommendations depending on levels of learner proficiency to ensure relevant but accessible suggestions (Dizon, 2020).

AI tools are also important in enhancing learner autonomy. In this respect, relying on AI for feedback allows learners to take responsibility in their own writing process and to be more independent writers. As Kessler (2018) says, "AI tools help students move beyond teacher-led corrections, fostering autonomy through continuous, personalized feedback." In so doing, the leaners can easily improve their editing and revision habits and thus achieve improvements in writing over time. In addition, AI tools can help learners understand not only the errors they made but also the reasons behind them, thus promoting deeper learning and engagement (Hirsch, 2020).

Despite these advantages, several researchers have indicated some limitations regarding the use of AI for writing instruction. One of the major concerns is that there is a risk of overdependence on the technology at the expense of the critical use of writing by the learners. Chen (2019) noted that "over-dependency on AI-produced improvements could hinder students from learning important aspects of critical writing that includes self-editing and revision." AI can offer expedient assistance in quality improvement; however, it cannot replace the delicacy and nuance normally a feature of human instructors, especially as regards creativity in writing or the understanding of cultural subtleties relevant to writing. For example, AI tools may undercount or flag colloquial expressions or regional vocabulary as errors, even though they are acceptable in some contexts. According to Madsen 2017, "AI lacks the ability to interpret tone, intention, and cultural subtleties in writing, which are essential for fully grasping language use in authentic communication."

In addition, the capability of AI tools to attend to more advanced features of writing, such as coherence and argumentation, is still limited. Research by Ellis (2019) has shown that while the tools are efficient in correcting basic grammatical errors, they are not that good when it deals with higher-order writing skills. "AI has yet to develop a complete understanding of discourse-level features such as the structure of an argument or the logical flow of ideas" (Ellis, 2019, p. 78). This inability underlines the guidance from human teachers that will still be required in those higher levels of the writing process, like critical thinking, creativity, and logical structuring.

Moreover, there is skepticism regarding the preparedness of AI tools to tackle the diversity in the use of the language by non-native speakers. According to Dizon (2020), AI tools may not adjust to different dialects and regional varieties of English, and hence it may also make incorrect assessments of certain usages' appropriateness for the learner's background. AI may have such difficulty in maneuvering through idioms and culturally oriented references, which are a necessary element of fluency in English writing (Dizon, 2020). Just as an example, expressions typically uttered in a culturally or spatially orientated setting could be flagged by AI tool as incorrect, even though in such context they are completely valid.

However, there are some arguments which have been in favor of its use in combination with traditional teaching methods. AI tools could possibly be used "as supplementary resources" to the teacher's role in developing writing skills (Griffiths, 2018). Although AI is able to offer immediate corrections and feedback personalized to learners' particular needs, educators cannot cease instructing students on those creative and conceptual elements of writing: voice, tone, and, importantly, argumentation. Combining the benefits of AI with the critical thinking typical of more traditional models may offer students both automated feedback personalized to

individual learners, as well as those afforded by an experienced instructor (Hirsch, 2020).

In other words, the literature postulates that AI writing tools provide immediate feedback, giving non-native speakers of the English language a way to dramatically improve their writing skills by enhancing learner independence. However, these technologies also have limitations, including their inability to address those more advanced and subtle dimensions of writing. As AI continues to evolve, future research will need to explore how to bridge the gap between AI's capabilities and the deeper aspects of writing instruction, ensuring that both technology and human expertise are leveraged effectively in language learning.

Research Methodology

This is a descriptive qualitative research design that aims at studying the impact of Artificial Intelligence tools in developing writing skills among non-native speakers of the English language. A descriptive design will be used because it has been observed that the current studies would allow an in-depth comprehension of the experiences of both learners and educators in using AI for language learning. This research will help provide deep descriptions of the way AI tools are used to complement writing instructions and perceived benefits and challenges in their use.

Type of the study

This research is going to be qualitative, collected from various sources to provide a thorough understanding of the subject-matter. The focus shall be given to the collection of firsthand accounts from students learning the English language as a second language as well as the or non-native speakers of English-and educators who have had the opportunity to work with AI tools in writing instructions. In this respect, the data should also capture some observational data with regards to the usage patterns when these learners have to utilize the mentioned facilities. This kind of data enables the study to exploit individual nuances in experience, opinion, and effects of AI tools on the learners' writing development.

Methods of Data Collection

The qualitative data in this research will employ semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis in amalgam for collection. Semi-structured one-on-one interviews with participants will involve non-native English learners who have experiences of AI tools for improvement in writing. The discussions on their experiences, challenges, and the experience in regard to perception of AI tools will facilitate their responses toward open-ended, profound insight in nature pertaining to writing development. It is also planned to conduct more interviews with language instructors using AI tools in teaching in order to detect the impacts created in student improvements concerning writing skills.

The focus groups will further enable the research into collective experiences and allow deeper insights into common patterns of usage, benefits, and drawbacks of AI tools. Randomly selected participants will incorporate different learning backgrounds from different levels of proliabity as well as different educational backgrounds. Such discussions facilitate the exchange of ideas and allow identification of themes that may not emerge in one-on-one interviews.

Document analysis will encompass the written assignments that have been submitted by learners prior to and after the use of AI tools; this will help in following the improvement in writing quality regarding grammatical, syntactical, and vocabulary-wise clarity and coherence, as well as provide insight into how the students integrate AI feedback into their writing.

Methods of Data analysis

Data analysis will be thematic, which is appropriate for qualitative research attempting to establish patterns and themes within the data. Verbatim transcriptions will be done from interviews and focus group discussions; afterward, the transcriptions will be analyzed by means of open coding in order to reveal the key emergent themes related to the use of AI tools when giving effective and limited writing instructions. Data will be coded into key themes identified in responses, such as benefits, challenges, and autonomous learners that perhaps became too reliant on technology.

The documents will also be analyzed through the qualitative lens, focusing on particular writing aspects such as grammatical accuracy, coherence, vocabulary usage, and overall quality. Writing samples taken before and after the AI intervention will be compared to estimate the improvements in the skill and to locate any change that may have occurred in writing patterns. Error frequencies, styles and coherence will be examined to give a complete picture of the value added by AI instruments in writing. Thematic patterns will also be cross-referenced with the interview and focus group data collected so as to ensure validity and to gain a comprehensive understanding the impact of AI on learners' writing. Results will be triangulated across the three data sources: interviews, focus groups, and document analysis, as a way of enhancing the credibility and reliability of the findings. This allows developing a comprehensive understanding of the place of AI in developing the English writing skills of non-native speakers.

This is, therefore, confirmed that the descriptive qualitative research, in addition to a multi-method approach to data collection and analysis, will offer an all-rounded understanding of the role of AI in improving writing skills. This method guarantees that the benefits and challenges of using AI in language learning are adequately looked into for valuable insights for educators, learners, and researchers in the field of language acquisition.

Overview of AI Tools for Writing Assistance

1. Grammar and Syntax Correction Tools

AI grammar and syntax correction tools are, in fact, some of the highly utilized resources in the improvement of English writing among non-native speakers. These provide automatic suggestions and corrections in a wide array of areas, such as verb consistency, subject-verb agreement, punctuation, and sentence structure. Examples of these are Grammarly, ProWritingAid, and Ginger, which have been an indispensable part of language learners' writing processes.

These tools first have the advantage of highlighting those errors that may not easily be spotted by a non-native speaker, who incidentally is still learning the rules of grammar. According to Channa and Tarmizi (2020), grammar correction tools substantially improve learners' accuracy in writing by providing "real-time, immediate feedback on errors that might go unnoticed in the traditional writing classroom." This feature puts the learner in a position where they can view their mistakes and understand the corrections made without them having to get constant intervention from the teacher. A learner will make fewer errors in grammatical rules after repeatedly coming across and correcting such errors.

Most of these tools not only underline the mistakes but also explain why those mistakes need to be corrected. For instance, apps like Grammarly provide an explanation for all changes they recommend: if it is because of subject-verb agreement or overuse of passive voice. This pedagogical feature is integral to non-native learners since they internalize rules and principles of English grammar in the process. According to Kessler (2018), this type of immediate, self-directed learning promotes "a deeper understanding of grammatical rules, which raises independent writing skills.

Despite these advantages, grammar and syntax correction software do have their limitations. According to Ellis, 2019, even though error detection tools have been amazingly efficient in detecting superficial errors, they are weak at handling more challenging issues: subtleties of meaning and context-dependent grammar choice. There are likely many cases in which AI will think of informal phrases and even kinds of colloquial constructions as grammatically incorrect, whereas within the correct context, it would be totally acceptable. Perhaps the greatest limitation of such AI tools is to encourage supplementation but never replacement of conventional language instruction, where most often the teacher's judgment is needed for more delicate uses of language.

2. AI-Driven Feedback on Writing Style, Coherence, and Vocabulary Usage

AI-driven feedback goes beyond grammar and syntax correction to the enhancement of writing style, coherence, and vocabulary usage. Writing style refers to how a message is written and includes the tone, which is formal or informal, as well as clarity. Coherence has to do with the logical flow and structure of ideas, whereas

vocabulary usage entails the suitability and variety within context. Tools such as Hemingway Editor and ProWritingAid allow the user to expand all these writing aspects, thus making it more readable, interesting, and proper for different kinds of audiences.

Most of the tools specific to AI style and coherence use algorithms that check sentence structure for redundancy and make suggestions on how to enhance clarity in written content. These tools help with sentence length, choice of words, and even the flow of ideas that makes learners build stronger and more coherent arguments in writing. In fact, Bergmann and Sams (2012) argue that these AI tools can indicate when students' writing may be unclear or too convoluted. This would further enable them to break down longer sentences into shorter ones, or delete jargon so their texts are easier to read.

AI tools can help non-Native speakers expand their vocabulary and make them avoid the simple repetitive language use. Grammarly and ProWritingAid suggest synonyms, identify clichés, or even propose more precise terms to uplift the quality of writing. These have an important function that impacts non-natives as they may not realize that there are more advanced options in vocabulary available to them. Dizon (2020) elaborates as follows "AI tools provide alternative words and phrases for learners to develop and motivate more varied and sophisticated language."

Feedback from AI tools on writing style, coherence, and clarity can inspire a sense of independence in learners when they achieve a degree of mastery. As students become familiar with the areas in which their writing is likely to be improved by AI, they can be better equipped for independent rewriting and editing of their work. But Madsen (2017), warns: feedback from Ai on the writing style can indeed be useful but lacks some depth in approaching the core issues, like the tone and nuances in emotions, which would generally need interpretation from humans.

3. Integration of Natural Language Processing (NLP)

Natural Language Processing is a typical revolutionizing artificial intelligence application through which people may see new feedback from AI tools for writing. NLP is that branch in artificial intelligence that tries to teach computers how to make processes for humans, straightforwardly identifying, interpreting, and articulating information like human language. The following discusses the enhancement that NLP brings forth in providing accuracy and depth to the AI feedback. With the help of NLP algorithms, an AI tool can identify grammar and syntax errors; further, it evaluates sentence structure and recognizes contextual meaning and even plays games with language patterns.

For example, these tools can reach levels deeper, more nuanced, about what non-native English speakers really need. Text processing at a syntactic and semantic

level, where meaning lies behind those words and phrases, offers suggestions beyond mere grammar correction. Here, one can also find useful suggestions in making more accurate suggestions regarding word choice, sentence structure, and tone because of the ability of the context NLP can understand. As Chen (2019) puts it, "NLP-powered AI tools are particularly adept at understanding the context of the text and can suggest word choices and sentence rephrasing to match the intended meaning of the writer."

Whereas it lets NLP stretch its tendrils, one of the most important applications is in language learning: offering sensitive context feedback. Similar to the previous example, NLP tools operate based on intertextuality, which differs from traditional grammar checkers since these mainly work on fixed rules: an NLP tool can recommend the restructuring of a sentence or replacing a word with something to make meaning more clear or cohesive, even if the grammar is technically correct. Hirsch (2020) notes that "NLP enables AI tools to offer contextualized feedback to make suggestions based on overall tone, formality, and purpose of the text, rather than just correcting isolated errors."

NLP is the assessment of the complexity and readability of sentences. Based on the syntactic structure of sentences, an NLP-based tool can help a learner to create clear, concise, grammatically correct sentences. It may also be able to identify twisted-overly complex structures that might confuse the reader-and suggest ways to improve readability. He realized, in Griffiths (2018), that "NLP enables AI tools to provide feedback on the complexity of sentence structures, helping learners craft more accessible and reader-friendly writing."

There is also a flip side of the coin: while NLP has enhanced the functionality of AI tools, accepting its denials is essential. The NLP-based AI tools are yet to develop and will fail to address some of the subtler aspects of language-accentedness, such as idioms or culturally specific references central to fluency. As Madsen (2017) states, "Despite advances of NLP, figurative language, humor, and regional dialects are still areas where tools fall short, as they must go far beyond a linguistic understanding into cultural context."

4. Encouraging Autonomy and Self-Correction in Writing
The application of artificial intelligence tools in language acquisition is clearly seen in writing. In the primary sense, such tools would efficiently boost learners' autonomy and skills in self-correction. One primary strength of any AI tool is placing the student exactly in charge of the learning process by allowing him/her to find and self-correct errors in writing. This is about individualized form of asking for productive use, nurturing independent learning, decision-making, critical thinking, and revising as a very important part of language knowledge.

5. Empowering Learners

AI tools such as Grammarly, ProWritingAid, and Hemingway come up with options other than simply correcting grammar. They help learners understand their mistakes well by explaining the corrections and empowering them into the process of editing. These tools define the errors that a certain sentence might have or what have improvements therein. Kessler (2018) notes that "AI tools can guide learners walking through their writing mistakes by offering clear explanations and clarifications, which makes it much more feasible and understandable for students to think in the application of the correction rather than through applying it."

For instance, an AI tool might not only highlight the subject-verb mistake that the learner makes, but also tells the learner that "Subject and verb should agree for the reason that the subject and the verb match in number and person." In general, this feedback could provide students with the rationale behind their correction, thus developing their awareness and understanding of the language rules. According to Channa and Tarmizi (2020), "AI-driven feedback will promote metacognitive skills by causing students to think about why an error was made, not just how to fix it." In turn, this leads to the internalization of those rules and better, more independent writing in the future as the learner understands behind-the-scenes operations for most of the corrections.

These explanations do not merely tell the student the error made, but also guide them into a thoughtful process by which he learns to self-correct. That way, over the months, one builds the habit of reviewing one's work with a more critical eye, which ultimately makes a person more self-sufficient in his writing practices.

6. Promoting Self-Reflection and Learning

AI tools also take part of the process of personal development by visualizing the path of learning and the records of performed actions which leads to the development of self-awareness. Programs like Grammarly offer valuable data that allow learners to gauge their performance level and the number of errors/their types (e.g., grammar, punctuation, style) and charts their overall improvement over time. In the words of Dizon (2020), "the ability to track progress and review historical feedback motivates learners to engage their work more critically and reflect back on their learning journey." Another possible dimension of these data-based insights is that they could enable students to identify patterns in their mistakes, areas requiring improvement, and areas that have shown success in progress.

The AI would actually induce a more critical self-reliance in learners. Through constant interaction with AI feedback, a student will be able to recognize and self-fix most typical errors, thus, minimization dependency on formal forms of instruction such as teachers and tutors. Bergmann and Sams (2012) assert: "AI feedback allows for more frequent revision and self-assessment, promoting a cycle of continuous improvement." Reviewing and editing to feedback over time would enable learners to develop the skills needed for self-correction and independent.

7. Developing Critical Thinking

Like most feedback, AI feedback often helps individuals revise their work but takes them another step forward and compels them even deeper into the critical reflection brought to bear upon the decisions made relative to their writing. While at the same time, the learner engages with this AI-generated suggestion, serving as the motivator for more critical thought about why a certain word, sentence structure or stylistic choice may or may not work.

This kind of reflection encourages a more deliberate process of writing and editing. As Hirsch (2020) explains, "AI tools prompt students to slow down and think about the situation, tone, and intent behind their writing before accepting or rejecting suggestions, and this leads to critical thought." It also enables students to interact with the writing process more meaningfully, moving past proofreading and on to a more complete conception of their work.

Additionally, AI tools are able to provide constructive, but not corrective feedback. This process of interacting with feedback and making changes as necessary, over and through time, to develop an internalized process of revision. As Chen (2019) put it, "self-correction, guided through AI, becomes something habitual for students as they progress through their practice of writing — a simple and fundamental principle aiding towards improvement." Incorporating AI feedback into their writing process teaches learners how to self-correct, which is an important principle of proofreading and revision — necessary skills to develop into independent writers.

It is because students are so focused on the writing process that they actually improve their writing style and eventually become good at recognizing and correcting their own errors, which provides a continuous cycle of improvement. According to Ellis, "such AI-based self-correction practices are beneficial and assist the learners in acquiring perfect habits of writing since they become more involved into the process of writing and thus more confident in improving their work by themselves.

Potential Drawbacks and Challenges of AI Tools in Writing Development

With advancements in the area of AI tools, there are various negatives and obstacles which are to be taken into consideration by both the educators and learners. Over-reliance on the AI has cost yet another weak point, the inaccuracies of AI feedback, problems with cultural texts, and privacy issues. However, understanding these drawbacks should be in place for effective AI tools' integration and use that benefits but without curbing the overall progression of the learners.

Over-Reliance on AI Tools

Feedback driven by AI assists learners in making corrections in addition to encouraging greater critical thinking regarding their writing AI tools provide significant benefits in terms of On of the most critical challenges associated with AI

tools in writing development involve over dependence which can, unfortunately, reduce critical thought and self-editing skills. AI tools offer instant feedback and corrections on a host of mistakes, ranging from spelling to grammar to syntax to style, but if students become over-reliant on these prompts, they won't master the skills critical for proofreading and editing their own papers. Griffiths asserts that "the advantages of AI-generated feedback might prevent students from really analyzing their writing and turn them into passive learners." This dependency can also hamper students from learning self-editing and revision, thus forcing them to rely solely on AI tools as a crutch instead of an aid. It will eventually place them at a disadvantage when attempting to pick up and rectify errors on their own without an editor.

Moreover, the use of AI tools can also erode creativity in writing. Recommendations from AI models, however, lack the human touch and hence are valuable for technical correctness but more of a result of rules and patterns based learning. "AI-powered tools tend to emphasize correctness, which is often antithetical to students' ability to express idiosyncratic thoughts — or write in their own individual voice," Ellis (2019) suggests. Over time students may start making decisions on writing based on the AI's suggestions of what safe and conventional language to use, meeting the tool's standards but hardly original or exciting. This may hinder students from experimenting with alternative writing styles or exploring creative expressions, potentially stunting the growth of their unique individual voices.

Inaccuracy of AI Feedback

The AI Feedback are not just accurate at all times and can sometimes suggest things that may be incorrect or not complete. Definitely difficult for AI tools are fairly complex problems in writing. The major issue with these programs is that context can be found complete by them; beyond most times, an AI program will struggle with context-specific nuances such as idioms, references specific to a culture, and complicated sentence types. Hirsch (2020) explains, "when native speakers use a phrase in a way that's common to their cultural context, AI may not realize that it's being used this way and will offer inaccurate suggestions or corrections that could mislead a non-native speaker." This problem can be especially pronounced because the grammatical structure suggested by the AI may be correct in proper English, but it still doesn't communicate what the writer intended or the exact tone they wanted. What's more, AI tools may struggle with regional accents and dialects.Non-natives use language patterns determined by their native languages or area dialects, which can sometimes lead to treatment of the language as an error even when it may be contextualized in those cases AI tools may penalize learners for using regional expressions or informal language culturally accepted in their country but viewed as errors due to standardized algorithms, as noted by Madsen (2017). It can also cause

frustration to learners stemming from the risk of losing their special linguistic identity due to fitting into a one-size-fits-all model.

Cultural Sensitivity and Language Variation

The AI tools face challenges in the fields of culture and language variation. The English language is a global language; its usage can vary a lot in different regions and cultures across the globe. AI tools, however, are structured very much on standardized English norms that would, therefore, not always be within local variations. For example, a tool primarily trained on American English may flag a term that perfectly fits British English as incorrect, and vice versa. Dizon (2020) observes, "AI tools lack the ability to fully appreciate the diverse cultural nuances in language use that may penalize students for writing in a culturally influenced way." Such limitations become frustrating for non-native speakers who try to communicate in a globalized world and believe that their natural expressions are undermined by the rigid rules of AI algorithms.

There is also the risk that the AI tools may not be sensitive enough to the socio-cultural implications of some words or expressions. Even while AI can recognize some langue as potentially offensive or improper, the nuanced aspects of certain expressions may miss out, because these are not normally hurtful but rely on context. This is particularly important when students use an expression that may be passed in their cultural or social framework, but considered incorrect by AI, for lack of understanding in context.

Privacy Concerns

Data Privacy: Another pressing problem with AI tools in education In the process of submitting written work to AI tools for review and analysis, students generate large amounts of personal data, such as writing samples, learning patterns, and sensitive information. This then raises ethical challenges around the storage, processing, and use of such data. "Many [AI tool] programs collect student data and store it … This is a serious privacy issue, particularly with sensitive or personally identifiable information" Kessler (2018).

There are ethical issues about storing so much student information, purportedly to improve AI algorithms. Even if the data is scrubbed of identifying information and used only to improve their tools, there is still a risk that it could be misused or hacked or leaked or accessed without their authorization. Chen (2019), however, points out the "long-term implications of data collection in AI-powered educational tools" noting that the activity can result in a scenario where personal data is being mined for profit or sold to third-party sources without the student's informed permission.

Inevitably, this leaves schools and AI organizations responsible for privacy policies—clear that students' data is going to be within access. It should also be

understood that students know how their data is used, and an option should be available to choose out if they are not comfortable with such practices. Although AI tools can be very great in improving writing skills, the idea of dependence on these AI tools holds some disadvantages. Writing without critical thinking and innovation, much reliance on AI feedback may lead to confusion where misplaced context and cultural nuances may be missed given that AI, on the other hand, may not be 100% accurate. Hence, developers need to work on how data is private and used ethically to avoid misuse of these AI tools. These issues will have to be tackled if the promise of technology in language learning is to be reaped at minimal risk with AI entering education.

Case Studies and Evidence of AI Effectiveness

AI tools are increasingly being used in educational contexts to improve students' writing skills. Many case studies report that such tools improve some aspects of writings, especially for non-native English learners. Three case studies illustrate the implications of these AI-powered tools; namely, Grammarly, applications of AI in academia, and personal writing practice with AI.

Case Study 1: Grammarly in Classroom Settings

Among the most popular AI writing tools, Grammarly has been examined for its effectiveness in improving student writing. In one of the research done by Kessler (2018), it was shown that students who used Grammarly tools in classroom context significantly improved grammar and punctuation, as well as the overall writing fluency. In the experiment conducted between non-native learners of English, it was found that learners who were subjected to AI-generated feedback from the writing assistant tool Grammarly showed improvement in both abilities to eliminate errors and tendencies to write independently. Moreover, Grammarly gave students a chance to understand and apply complex grammar rules while writing their own sentences, thus making them feel more engaged with editing processes.

Furthermore, student feedback has been overwhelmingly positive, with many students reporting feeling more confident about their writing because of the tool. As highlighted by Griffiths (2018), "students mentioned that Grammarly's real-time feedback helped them learn from errors immediately and fear of writing mistakes was decreased." But other students said an over-reliance on the tool might stymie their ability to edit on their own. Overall however, students and teachers seemed to agree that Grammarly was a useful aid in improving accuracy and clarity in writing, despite these limitations.

Case Study 2: AI-Assisted Writing in Academic Contexts

AI tools get functioned at the academic institutes to facilitate the accountancy of international students who are facing difficulties writing in English. For instance, researchers at the University of Sydney provided even one, sailing so much these

teaching English to license for better upon what that academic writing. The findings indicated that students using these tools became much better organized around their ideas and had an improvement in adherence to academic writing conventions such as referencing and academic tone.

According to Dizon (2020), international students in a language school context found AI tools particularly advantageous in improving the clarity and coherence of their writing. They enable students to structure their arguments effectively, avoid common grammar errors, and include better academic vocabulary. According to teachers, those students who had regularly made use of AI assistance submitted polished drafts that generally made them feel more confident of their academic capabilities.

The major advantage of AI in such educational scenarios is the instant feedback provided to the students, enabling them to rewrite their submissions before they are formally evaluated. Such a constant writing, feedback and revision cycle is helpful for language learners also as it does diminish the worries usually caused by writing in academia and enables language learners to succeed in academia.

Case Study 3: AI in Personal Writing Practices

Most self-taught individuals use AI writing tools outside the formal classroom to learn how to write better. Some other tools include Grammarly, Hemingway, and Quillbot for students who wish to practice more writing individually. Many such tools are used by non-native speakers to write personal essays, application materials, and even create blogs. Users report a significant improvement in their writing and self-awareness of common errors because they receive immediate feedback on aspects such as grammar, style, and readability.

According to a case study by Chen (2019), in fact, learners who used AI tools for personal writing tended to be more independent in their learning because they could identify their constant mistakes, such as punctuation errors or too much passive voice, and actively work to eliminate them. Besides, the AI tools would also broaden their vocabulary and experiment with the variety of their writing styles by providing synonyms for dull or repetitive language.

The real contribution that artificial intelligence can bring to personal writing practices is that the student can do this at his or her own pace, unbound by the confines of formal education. When it is easiest for them, the learners can sit down and write, instantly receiving feedback that could potentially help them write even better. Many report feeling more inclined to write often because the immediate feedback can help in making tangibly noticeable changes in progress.

Comparison with Traditional Writing Instruction

The use of AI tools for teaching writing in schools has generally changed from familiar methods such as teacher feedback or peer reviews to technology-driven

approaches. Although traditional and AI-driven writing instruction modes have some specific advantages, their combination is crucial in optimizing writing development among non-native English learners.

Traditional methods of writing instruction, such as personalized teacher feedback and peer conferences, do intensive, finely nuanced, context specific critiquing, which the AI tools may not rush to replicate. Teachers might offer individual support in light of the student's previous writing, personal voice and particular learning needs. Peer reviews foster collaboration as students evaluate each other's work, allowing them to apply practices that help them internalize writing principles.) But traditional methods are time-taking and the feedback is neither so instantaneous nor complete as an AI-based tool. For example, Grammarly and ProWritingAid are AI tools that provide real-time, error-focused feedback that allows students to instantly correct issues of grammar, syntax or style.

The speed and consistency with which AI services analyze massive amounts of text will give students much practice, but the deeper more subjective qualities of writing, like tone, intent, or cultural context, will not be easily replicated.

Although AI can provide highly valuable feedback on many technical aspects of writing, it should not be used as a substitute for human feedback at all. AI would add to the teacher's role by performing basic routines for error correction, which raises the possibility of teachers spending time on higher-order skills, such as critical thinking, argumentation, or essay structure. This entry into AI feedback could provide more teacher-student engagement on genuinely more complex issues such as tone, intent or cultural contexts.

The Future of AI in Writing Skills Development

The future of artificial intelligence, especially in writing training, will continue to unleash new possibilities for language learning. By the infusion of state-of-the-art technologies like deep learning and neural network-based working of models that are advancing much beyond just natural language processing (NLP), the capabilities of AI and related tools in language education will be transformed. Yes, it is improving the accuracy and reliability of feedback from an automated system but also catalyzing a transformation in language learning. But is the same truth as AI-driven improvements becoming increasingly pervasive and engulfing classrooms? Here come the ethical challenges of these times for language teachers and institutions.

Advances in AI for Language Learning

Recent progresses in AI have demonstrated a significant enhancement in language processing, especially because of new algorithms which have been differentiated by their use of deep learning and neural networks. Such systems are powered by deep-learning algorithms, which allow them to trawl through sensational amounts of linguistic data and learn ever more accurately to pickle out patterns-(of grammar,

syntax and style of various levels of complexity).Bender (2021) explains: "neural networks allow for far more rich pattern recognition than do simple approaches, making possible the generation of more contextually aware and accurate language suggestions." The feedback thus generated will be much more personalized and sensitive to such factors as learner level, typified writing styles, and needs.

The Role of AI in Shaping the Future of Language Education

Artificial intelligence may turn out to be the number one innovative factor in the future of language learning, making it very much individualized, accessible, and effective. The advancement of AI will enable the provision of adaptive learning environments to fit individual students' needs. The tools assess each student's strengths and weaknesses in real-time and provide tailored feedback to offer a personalized learning experience that has been very difficult in conventional classrooms. Integrated AI will offer teachers the chance to engage students in higher-order learning tasks like critical thinking, creativity, and independent writing and leave the regular feedback tasks to automations.

With AI, students can receive instant feedback and, therefore, engage in a more interactive and continuous mode of learning. Admittedly, in Dizon's work (2020), "AI systems should provide learners with the means to learn and practice at their own pacing, allowing independence and self-directed learning." Especially those hesitant to speak even with teachers or classmates because of fear of making mistakes, will benefit from these AI systems. They can practice their language using AI correction without pressure of being judged. In turn, this means a more universal access because it facilitates any language learner irrespective of varied backgrounds into language development anytime and anywhere by not making them dependent only on the educational institution.

In addition, AI tools may help close the distance between formal learning and self-learning. Personalized AI-enabled exercises, tests, and writing prompts are all increasingly infused into day-to-day learning and become much more seamlessly integrated into independent practice. AI is prepared to make huge strides toward lifelong learning by allowing students to continue even after official schooling ceases.

Ethical Considerations and Implications for Language Teachers

Then again, the infusion of AI in language instruction raises significantly wider ethical issues-who really needs a teacher? The increased burden on teachers comes under the aspect of feedback and evaluation; authors such as Hirsch (2021) argue that "AI should not replace teachers but augment their roles, leaving the human intuition-eye-admission activities to tasks such as motivation and individual mentoring". But, students will still not lose a guidance site in their journey through this action as that of high-order cognitive tasks like critical thinking, argumentation, and creative expression.

However, this increasing reliance on AI tools will also require the adaptation of teaching practices to incorporate AI as an assistant rather than replacement, whereas the educators will be trained on the sleek incorporation of AI into their teaching methodologies to enhance, rather than destabilize, their instruction strategies. The paradigm shift will entail the adoption of a whole new digital literacy by the teachers combined with an acceptance of the new reality involving a clear and well-developed understanding of how AI work and its limitations by educators. Furthermore, as much as AI grows, the relationship between efficiency and, directly substituted by AI tools, accessibility, and the irreplaceable teacher touch in fostering creativity, emotional support, and critical thinking should be retained.

The widespread adoption of AI in education brings up ethical issues which mostly revolve around privacy and data security. Since AI systems gather so much information about how students write, how they act, and how they learn, privacy information is critical — and its use must be deemed as responsible. Chen (2019) affirms that "students' personal data should be handled with care, ensuring transparency in data collection and providing clear policies for how data will be used or shared." One other issue relates to the likelihood of bias in AI algorithms because the tools may accidentally reflect the biases of the data on which they were trained. Fairness and inclusivity have to be put across for priority by AI developers according to those facts, which will ensure that their systems do not put certain groups of learners on disadvantage compared with other groups because of, for example, socioeconomic background, language proficiency, or cultural context.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence (AI) capabilities to improve writing skills offer individualized accessible learning solutions for language development. The development of deep learning and natural language processing technologies will provide increasingly precise and complex tools which will especially aid non-native speakers by offering immediate feedback and enhancing their grammatical accuracy while promoting self-directed learning. It is important to consider a balanced approach of the traditional writing instruction as AI is expected to be one of the forms of instruction supplementing the students' learning and not a full replacement to traditional writing instruction. Through technical feedback automation educators can concentrate on nurturing higher-order skills which include creativity, critical thinking and argumentation. To benefit from AI while reducing risk, educational institutions and developers need to create clear policies that guarantee data security and equity while making education accessible. AI shows promise for writing education in the future but successful implementation depends on ethical and pedagogical thoughtful planning. Through proper integration, AI can enhance student autonomy without eliminating human instruction, creating better learning environments for every student.

References:

Bender, E. (2021). Exploring future applications of artificial intelligence within the realm of language education. *Teaching Research in Languages, 25*(1), 22–38.

Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2012). *Transforming classrooms through flipped learning to engage all students daily.* International Society for Technology in Education.

Channa, A., & Tarmizi, R. A. (2020). Enhancing English writing proficiency with AI-driven technologies. *Educational Technology Journal, 35*(3), 121–134.

Chen, X. (2019a). Evaluating how automated feedback systems influence EFL learners' outcomes and enthusiasm. *Journal of Technology in Language Learning, 23*(1), 34–45.

Chen, X. (2019b). Examining AI tools' applications in teaching second language writing. *Learning Technologies for Language Education, 23*(2), 98–111.

Dizon, G. (2020). Integrating AI tools into writing pedagogy: An illustrative case study. *International Journal for Language Studies, 9*(2), 45–59.

Ellis, R. (2019). *An exploration of second language acquisition studies.* Oxford University Press.

Grammarly. (2022). *An analysis of Grammarly's effects on academic writing: A focused case study.* Retrieved from Grammarly's official website.

Griffiths, C. (2018). *The transformative role of AI in educational settings.* Routledge.

Hirsch, D. (2020). Developing a model for integrating artificial intelligence into language education. *Research in Language Teaching, 24*(1), 56–72.

Hirsch, D. (2021). Collaboration between teachers and students in AI-supported language education. *Journal of Technology in Education, 18*(3), 56–72.

Kessler, G. (2018). Examining the role of AI technologies in teaching writing for second language learners. *Technology & Language Education Studies, 22*(2), 78–94.

Li, S., & Zhao, L. (2020). The progression of AI technologies and their implications for language learning. *Technology and Language Education Journal, 24*(1), 134–145.

Madsen, S. (2017). Benefits and challenges in AI-facilitated language learning. *Journal of Computing Research in Education, 55*(3), 323–336.

Pérez, M., & Rodríguez, J. (2019). Investigating AI-based tools for writing in second language learning contexts. *Technology in Language Instruction Journal, 20*(2), 112–128.

Zhang, Y. (2020). A review of AI applications in second language writing instruction. *Review of Applied Linguistics, 41*(3), 453–473.