

Quality Teaching Resources as Sustainable Drivers of Academic Excellence in Open Distance e- Learning Universities

Saltiel Khololo Collen Mataboge (1, *)

Received: 28 August 2025
Revised: 12 September 2025
Accepted: 13 September 2025

© 2025 University of Science and Technology, Aden, Yemen. This article can be distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

© 2025 جامعة العلوم والتكنولوجيا، المركز الرئيس عدن، اليمن. يمكن إعادة استخدام المادة المنشورة حسب رخصة مؤسسة المشاع الإبداعي شريطة الاستشهاد بالمؤلف والمجلة.

¹ Department of Educational Leadership and Management, College of Education, University of South Africa, Muckleneuk, South Africa

* Corresponding Email Address Ematabsk@unisa.ac.za

Quality Teaching Resources as Sustainable Drivers of Academic Excellence in Open Distance e-Learning Universities

Abstract:

The overriding purpose of this conceptual paper is to examine the quality of teaching and learning resources as a sustainable driver of academic excellence in open distance e-learning universities. The study delves into the intricacies pertinent to how resource availability, adaptability, and technological integration influence pedagogical effectiveness and student engagement in open distance e-learning contexts. The critical question guiding this study is, how do sustainable approaches to teaching and learning resources enhance the quality of education in open distance e-learning universities? A qualitative approach is adopted utilizing scholarly books and peer-reviewed articles as primary data collection sources. An interpretive paradigm is appropriately selected to capture multiple perspectives on the alignment between resource quality, inclusivity, and sustainability in higher education. Findings emerged that sustainable teaching and learning resources such as open educational resources, digital libraries, and innovative online platforms contribute significantly to enhancing access, equity, and long-term institutional resilience. The study contributes immensely to the growing discourse on resource-driven sustainability in higher education, highlighting best practices applicable to both developed and developing contexts. Implications for policymakers and implementers emphasize the need to prioritize equitable funding, digital infrastructure, and ethical resource distribution. Nonetheless, limitations include reliance on secondary sources and contextual variations across regions.

Key words: Teaching and Learning Resources, Sustainability, Open Distance e-Learning, Higher Education, Academic Quality

Introduction

Quality education is universally recognized as a crucial means for societal advancement, especially in developing essential abilities like critical thinking, problem-solving, and reasoning (Lam, 2025). These qualities are essential for equipping students to navigate the intricacies of modern life, engage effectively in democratic processes, and contribute constructively to the global economy. In this context, higher education institutions (HEIs) serve as essential catalysts for intellectual advancement, research, and innovation. Educationally advanced nations have consistently provided their universities and colleges with the necessary teaching and learning resources on an ongoing basis (Lynch & Plunkett, 2025). This encompasses updated libraries, digital infrastructures, qualified instructors, and strong research support systems, which collectively ensure that students are not merely consumers of knowledge but also creators of fresh discoveries (Ncube & Tawanda, 2025).

Furthermore, the allocation of sufficient resources is significantly associated with the quality of educational achievements and institutional competitiveness. Countries like Finland, Germany, and South Korea have strategically invested in higher education as a component of their comprehensive socio-economic development strategies, viewing education as a long-term public asset rather than a simple private commodity (Başaran et al., 2024). Conversely, under-resourced institutions in numerous developing contexts frequently contend with antiquated curricula, overcrowded classrooms, and restricted access to digital technology, exacerbating the equality gap in global education (Amiri et al., 2025). Therefore, guaranteeing continuous investment in higher education is imperative for governments seeking to bridge the developmental gap. The path of societal change illustrates that adequately funded excellent education establishes the basis for innovation, social unity, and human capital advancement (Mamanazarov et al., 2025).

Literature Review

Conceptualization of Quality of Teaching and Learning Resources in ODeL Contexts

The quality of teaching and learning resources is a fundamental factor in achieving sustainable academic success in Open Distance e-Learning (ODeL) universities. Teaching and learning resources encompass the amalgamation of physical, digital, and human elements utilized in the provision of higher education, including textbooks, online platforms, lecture recordings, academic libraries, student support systems, and digital infrastructure (Huang et al., 2025). In the ODeL setting, these resources gain heightened importance due to the lack of face-to-face interaction, which requires dependence on technology-mediated communication among students, educators, and content. Adequacy, accessibility, and relevance are hence the fundamental components that determine quality. When resources are insufficient or unavailable,

students encounter obstacles to knowledge acquisition and skill development, thereby compromising institutional objectives of equity and excellence (Hayes et al., 2025).

Globally, higher education institutions have increasingly acknowledged that sustainable academic success necessitates continuous investment in substantial resource allocation (Ude, 2025). Jansawang et al. (2024) emphasize the significance of high-quality teaching and learning materials as catalysts for inclusiveness, lifelong learning, and global competitiveness. This is especially prominent at ODeL universities, where student demographics are varied, scattered, and frequently reliant on asynchronous learning options. Neglecting to invest in these resources not only undermines student learning results but also intensifies systemic inequities between developed and developing nations (Asamoah & Ansong, 2025). Consequently, the notion of quality in this field is multimodal and contextual, incorporating the pedagogical integrity of materials, the accessibility of platforms, and the sufficiency of institutional support systems.

Global Good Practices and Policy Imperatives: Lessons from Finland, Croatia, and Canada

Educationally developed countries have demonstrated that purposeful policy frameworks and adequate resource strategies are required for long-term academic excellence in ODeL settings. Finland illustrates a high-performing system where digital infrastructure, pedagogical innovation, and equality policies work together to improve ODeL delivery (Tafese & Kopp, 2025). The Finnish higher education system incorporates open educational resources (OERs) into national policy, allowing for free and equitable access to high-quality content (Amiri et al., 2025). Furthermore, the government has implemented a digitalization policy to ensure that all universities have modern learning management systems, technical support services, and research-driven curriculum design. Finland's emphasis on equitable resource distribution reduces geographic and socioeconomic differences, enabling ODeL institutions to stay competitive and inclusive (Gunawan, 2025).

Croatia has likewise invested in initiatives to enhance digital education. The Croatian Ministry of Science and Education launched the e-Schools program, a nationwide digital transformation initiative that supplies universities with ICT infrastructure, educator training, and curriculum materials (Radović et al., 2025). In ODeL universities, these policies promote blended learning approaches, enhancing access for rural and marginalized groups. Furthermore, Croatia's implementation of the European Higher Education Area (EHEA) standards guarantees that its ODeL institutions conform to continental quality assurance systems, hence improving student mobility and the recognition of qualifications (Pajvančić-Cizelj, 2025).

Canada is another fascinating example of how the policy framework has enabled sustainable progress in ODeL. Canadian universities benefit from federal and provincial policies that prioritize broadband expansion, ensuring fair access to digital learning

platforms, especially in rural locations (Makinen, 2025). Furthermore, expenditures in academic professional development focus on capacity building, ensuring that teachers are proficient in digital pedagogy. These actions, which are supported by sustainable finance, illustrate the link between public policy and the long-term viability of academic excellence in ODeL systems (Novaes, 2025). Collectively, the experiences of Finland, Croatia, and Canada demonstrate that strong policy imperatives ranging from infrastructure investment to capacity-building initiatives are required to provide fair, high-quality ODeL education.

Gaps, Adversarial Effects, and Challenges in the Global South

Conversely, universities in the Global South struggle with systematic deficiencies in resource quality, which jeopardize the sustainability of academic success in ODeL institutions. A primary cause is chronic underfunding, as budgetary allocations for higher education are inadequate to meet the rising expenses of digital transformation (Singun, 2025). In contrast to Finland or Canada, several Global South governments do not prioritize extensive ICT infrastructure development, leading to insufficient digital platforms, inconsistent internet connectivity, and restricted access to free resources. A further problem is policy fragmentation, wherein higher education plans exhibit a lack of coherence, resulting in ODeL institutions being devoid of explicit frameworks for quality assurance, digital equity, and sustainable resource management (Becher & Kogan, 2025).

These gaps have a number of counterproductive impacts that jeopardize institutional and student results. For example, insufficient teaching and learning tools promote academic marginalization, as students from rural and low-income communities encounter digital gaps that hinder their ability to access and engage with online materials (Memon & Memon, 2025). Furthermore, inadequate resource allocation increases attrition rates in ODeL universities, as students become dissatisfied with the inefficiency and lack of academic support offered. At the systemic level, the lack of consistent resource plans affects institutional credibility, reducing Global South institutions' ability to compete worldwide and attract international collaborations (Amirudin, 2025).

An additional detrimental consequence is the continuation of epistemic inequality. In the absence of quality resources, ODeL universities may become reliant on externally generated content from the Global North, thereby undermining knowledge sovereignty and diminishing prospects for contextualized innovation (Tavares, 2025). This establishes a cyclical dependency that perpetuates inequality instead of promoting sustainable academic performance. The aggregate result is that ODeL universities in the Global South encounter systemic constraints that hinder their ability to attain equivalence with institutions in developed systems (Ncube, 2025). Confronting these difficulties necessitates intentional policy measures, encompassing sustainable financing, digital equity initiatives, and the creation of contextually pertinent resources.

The overriding purpose of this conceptual paper is to examine the quality of teaching and learning resources as a sustainable driver of academic excellence in Open Distance e-Learning (ODEL) universities.

The critical question guiding this study is, how do sustainable approaches to teaching and learning resources enhance the quality of education in open distance e-learning universities?

Theoretical Framework

In order to enhance academic performance in Open Distance e-Learning (ODEL) universities, a comprehensive theoretical framework must prioritize the significance of high-quality teaching and learning resources that are accessible and contextually pertinent. The caliber of instructional and learning materials as a sustainable catalyst for academic success in Open Distance e-Learning (ODEL) universities can be comprehended through the synthesis of many educational philosophies. Constructivist learning theory asserts that students actively generate meaning via engagement with resources and their surroundings. High-quality instructional tools, including interactive modules, open educational repositories, and multimedia platforms, enhance engagement and enrich learning, thus advancing academic success (Anderson, 2020). Transactional Distance Theory (TDT) is equally important, as it emphasizes the psychological and communicative gap present in remote education. Moore (1993) contends that the efficacy of learning hinges on the equilibrium among conversation, structure, and student autonomy. When ODeL colleges offer well-structured and accessible materials, they diminish transactional distance, improve communication, and fortify the student-instructor relationship. Resource-based learning theory posits that varied and flexible resources enable students to take ownership of their learning, thereby becoming active contributors to knowledge creation (Harasim, 2017). In the ODeL context, where students originate from varied backgrounds with differing levels of digital literacy, the provision of comprehensive and inclusive resources is essential for equitable learning results. Thus, the Sustainability Theory of Education positions excellent resources as enduring investments that enhance institutional resilience and promote societal progress. Tait (2019) contends that sustainable educational approaches, encompassing ongoing resource innovation, inclusion, and technology flexibility, are vital for fostering academic achievement in a global information economy. Collectively, these theories illustrate that the caliber of teaching and learning resources in ODeL universities transcends mere technology, serving as a fundamental component for enduring academic excellence.

Methods

This qualitative study encompassed a literature review as its methodological strategy. A systematic literature review was conducted, mostly employing evidence from published academic books and articles. To achieve a more profound understanding of

evaluating the quality of teaching and learning resources as a sustainable catalyst for academic excellence in open distance e-learning universities. Fan et al. (2022) elucidate that an integrative literature review is a research methodology that assesses, analyzes, and synthesizes relevant literature on a subject in a coherent manner, thereby producing new insights on the topic. An integrated literature review is a specific form of systematic literature review that facilitates the amalgamation and synthesis of findings. The examination of the reviewed academic publications and articles on the subject utilized the interpretivist paradigm within a local context, recognizing its limitations based on deep social and cultural foundations (Pervin & Mokhtar, 2023). The reflections originate from the authors' individual perspectives on the topic issue.

Data Collection

The systematic literature review titled "Examining the Quality of Teaching and Learning Resources as a Sustainable Driver of Academic Excellence in Open Distance e-Learning Universities" meticulously gathered recent evidence from public sources. This comprehensive approach sought to clarify the critical function relevant to the topic under consideration. This review aimed to evaluate effective topic-related techniques by examining a variety of scholarly publications and papers.

Data Analysis

The analysis of literature on "Examining the Quality of Teaching and Learning Resources as a Sustainable Catalyst for Academic Excellence in Open Distance e-Learning Universities" adopts an interpretivist framework within a specific context, as highlighted by Pervin and Mokhtar (2023). This approach acknowledges the deep social and cultural foundations inherent in educational settings by recognizing the limitations of a purely objective viewpoint. The author analyzes complicated aspects of the topic by embracing subjective viewpoints and exploring the intricacies of interpersonal relationships, societal conventions, and power structures. This interpretive framework enables a deep understanding of the complexities related to the subject and the implementation of sustainable mitigation strategies (Muldoon et al., 2024). This thorough approach provides essential information for formulating successful interventions and methods adapted to the specific needs of educational environments.

Findings, Results

The results indicate that high-quality teaching and learning resources are essential for maintaining academic success in Open Distance e-Learning (ODEL) universities. Superior, accessible, and contextually pertinent materials augment learner engagement and substantially impact knowledge retention and performance results. Research demonstrates that interactive digital resources, multimedia integration, and adaptive learning systems facilitate personalized learning experiences, allowing

students to comprehend complicated topics more effectively (Isaeva et al., 2025). Moreover, effective learner support systems and prompt feedback mechanisms were recognized as crucial in decreasing dropout rates and fostering persistence in ODeL environments. The research underscores the essential function of educators in using these resources efficiently. Ongoing professional development enhances teachers' ability to merge technology with pedagogy, thereby promoting innovative and inclusive teaching methodologies (Moriña & Perera, 2025). Quality assurance systems have become essential for upholding academic standards, ensuring that resources are credible, equitable, and consistent with institutional objectives. A significant discovery is the importance of collaborative collaborations with industry and academic colleagues. Collaborations enhance resource relevance and expedite innovation, allowing universities to address swiftly evolving educational demands (Saemaldaher & Emeagwali, 2025). These observations confirm that high-quality teaching and learning resources serve as enduring catalysts for academic performance, contingent upon their methodical development, ongoing evaluation, and effective implementation within ODeL universities.

Discussion

Sustainable Approaches to Teaching and Learning Resources in ODeL Universities

The crucial question directing this research In what ways do sustainable methodologies for teaching and learning resources improve educational quality in Open Distance e-Learning (ODeL) universities? highlights the convergence of resource adequacy, institutional resilience, and academic quality. Sustainable methods for educational resources encompass techniques that guarantee continuity, inclusivity, and adaptability of instruction amid evolving wants and technology advancements. These methodologies include tangible resources, such as digital platforms, ICT infrastructure, and open educational resources (OERs), as well as intangible aspects, including regulatory frameworks, professional development, and institutional culture (Laurillard, 2013). By integrating sustainability concepts, ODeL institutions can enhance their ability to deliver egalitarian and high-quality education despite external difficulties such as financing limitations, digital disparities, or global crises.

Evidence indicates that institutions implementing sustainable practices enhance learning outcomes and promote equity in higher education. Jansawang et al. (2024) assert that high-quality teaching resources are pivotal to promoting the global education agenda of lifelong learning for all. The systemic integration of Open Educational Resources (OERs) in Finland demonstrates how sustainable practices provide equitable access to knowledge and alleviate financial pressures for students (Başaran & Turan, 2025). In ODeL contexts, resource sustainability necessitates adaptation to accommodate varied student groups. In the absence of sustainability, resource allocation becomes disjointed and ephemeral, resulting in disparities,

inefficiencies, and depletion. The improvement of quality in ODeL institutions relies not only on resource availability but also on their ability to sustain relevance and adhere to the ideals of inclusiveness, accessibility, and contextual suitability (Tariq, 2025).

Comparative Insights from Developed and Developing Contexts

The comparison between developed and Global South contexts emphasizes systemic differences in the adoption of sustainable teaching and learning tools. In advanced nations like Finland and Canada, national policy mandates have established digital infrastructure, equal broadband access, and comprehensive pedagogical training for educators (Goel, 2025). These steps guarantee that ODeL universities possess dependable platforms and personnel proficient in providing superior education. Croatia's e-Schools initiative illustrates that strategic investment in ICT infrastructure can elevate educational quality, mitigate rural-urban disparities, and improve student performance (Hoque, 2025).

Global South institutions, on the other hand, frequently operate in resource-constrained situations, where sustainability is hampered by insufficient funding, weak policy frameworks, and infrastructural deficiencies (Haldar, 2025). For example, insufficient government investment in ICT infrastructure impedes digital inclusion, preventing many students from fully engaging with ODeL platforms. Furthermore, fragmented or short-term strategies do not give the stability necessary for long-term development. This perpetuates a cycle of reliance on donor-driven projects, which frequently lack contextual sensitivity and long-term viability (Al Mokdad, 2025). These gaps demonstrate that sustainability is more than just a technical challenge of resource allocation; it is also a systemic issue involving governance, policy consistency, and political will. As a result, whereas developed environments create resilience through long-term approaches, Global South ODeL universities are nevertheless subject to upheaval, marginalization, and reputational deterioration.

Contextually appropriate sustainable techniques in resource provision can alleviate these inequities. Localized OER development can diminish reliance on Global North content and foster culturally pertinent learning (Lazarus et al., 2025). Similarly, policies that emphasize inexpensive broadband and community-oriented digital hubs can enhance access in rural and underserved regions (Kimote & Mutunga, 2025). A comparative analysis highlights that sustainability in ODeL education must be globally informed and locally anchored, reconciling universal principles of justice and excellence with context-specific realities.

Adversarial Effects and the Imperative for Mitigation Strategies

The absence of sustainable approaches for teaching and learning resources in ODeL universities has detrimental repercussions that transcend individual learning outcomes and contribute to systemic inequality. Students affected by digital divides experience increased attrition, restricted professional advancement, and reduced social mobility

(Mwansa et al., 2025). At the institutional level, inadequate resources hinder competitiveness, impeding worldwide South ODeL universities from attaining equivalence with their worldwide counterparts. This cultivates epistemic dependency, as universities depend on externally generated content that may not correspond with local reality and knowledge systems. Moreover, inadequate resource quality cultivates antagonistic relationships between students and institutions, leading students to perceive systemic neglect and withdraw from educational engagement (Soni et al., 2025).

Consequently, mitigation methods are crucial for addressing these impacts and ensuring that sustainability is integrated into ODeL resource allocation. A strategy entails policy measures that establish sustainable finance models for higher education. Governments in the Global South should prioritize consistent and adequate expenditures in digital infrastructure rather than relying on ad hoc allocations, assuring continuity and reliability (Vuong et al., 2025). Another option is the institutionalization of Open Educational Resource (OER) policies, promoting universities to create, modify, and disseminate open resources suited to their specific circumstances. This not only diminishes expenses but also improves relevance and inclusivity. Capacity building for educators constitutes a tertiary mitigation technique, since sustainable resource utilization necessitates digitally proficient and pedagogically adaptable teaching personnel. Investments in professional development programs can ensure that academics are proficient in integrating digital tools successfully, hence enhancing teaching quality (Stutchbury et al., 2023). Thus, both local and international alliances provide a sustainable avenue by consolidating resources, exchanging experience, and promoting innovation. South–South relationships could facilitate the interchange of locally pertinent resources and strategies among Global South ODeL universities, hence diminishing dependence on donor-driven models. These mitigating techniques can together prevent hostile impacts and foster sustainable academic excellence in ODeL institutions (Al-Alwani, 2025).

Towards a Framework of Sustainable Academic Excellence in ODeL Universities

The discourse converges on the assertion that sustainable methodologies for teaching and learning resources are the foundation of quality in ODeL education. Sustainability should be perceived not as a fixed objective but as a dynamic process necessitating ongoing adaptation to advancing technologies, changing student demographics, and global educational trends (Shih et al., 2025). A paradigm for sustainable academic excellence in ODeL universities must encompass four fundamental dimensions: policy sustainability, infrastructural sustainability, pedagogical sustainability, and epistemic sustainability. Policy sustainability requires consistent, long-term commitments to finance, equity, and digitalization, as demonstrated by the Finnish and Canadian models. Infrastructural sustainability

necessitates dependable bandwidth, robust digital platforms, and equitable access procedures. Pedagogical sustainability underscores the continuous advancement of faculty to accommodate technology transformations and to create student-centered digital experiences (Allam et al., 2025). Consequently, epistemic sustainability emphasizes the generation of contextually pertinent resources that include local knowledge and diminish reliance on imported materials. Mitigation techniques, such as OER adoption, capacity enhancement, public–private partnerships, and regional collaboration, offer pragmatic avenues to implement this paradigm. The primary objective is to convert ODeL universities in the worldwide South into robust institutions capable of providing egalitarian, high-quality education comparable to their worldwide counterparts (Schweisfurth, 2025). Realizing this objective requires political commitment, strategic investment, and a culture transformation that acknowledges teaching and learning resources as fundamental to sustaining academic achievement.

Conclusion

The quality of teaching and learning resources emerges as a critical factor influencing academic achievement in Open Distance e-Learning (ODeL) universities. These resources, which include digital platforms, electronic libraries, interactive multimedia, and student support services, are more than just supplemental tools; they represent the foundation for equal access and successful knowledge production (Kalota et al., 2025). When institutions invest in technologically robust and pedagogically responsive resources, they improve student engagement, deepen learning, and cultivate autonomous scholarship, all of which are crucial characteristics of academic achievement in remote education (Tara et al., 2023). Furthermore, resource quality serves as a long-term driver by promoting continual innovation, bridging digital barriers, and aligning higher education with the changing needs of knowledge-based society. In environments where students are geographically dispersed and socioeconomically varied, providing reliable and contextually relevant resources promotes inclusivity and minimizes attrition, thereby promoting institutional sustainability (Kriewaldt et al., 2025). Furthermore, high-quality materials give lecturers the skills they need to construct examinations, select information, and provide feedback that meets global academic rigor requirements (Kayyali, 2024). Finally, pursuing excellence in ODeL is inextricably linked to the systematic development and maintenance of long-term teaching and learning materials. Universities that prioritize resource quality are better positioned to produce graduates who are not only academically qualified but also capable of critical inquiry, innovation, and societal engagement in an increasingly digital and linked world.

Recommendations

For students to attain academic success in Open Distance e-Learning (ODEL) universities, it is critical to invest in high-quality teaching and learning resources that are accessible, contextually relevant, and technologically adaptive. To ensure pedagogical effectiveness, institutions should prioritize the creation of interactive digital content, strong student support systems, and ongoing professional development for instructors (Velmurugan et al., 2025). The combination of multimedia resources and adaptive learning platforms can promote deeper engagement and personalized learning experiences, resulting in better student outcomes. Furthermore, quality assurance systems must be included in all stages of resource development and distribution in order to maintain academic standards and legitimacy. Collaborative ties with business and other academic institutions can enhance content relevance and foster innovation. According to Marcen et al. (2025), long-term academic achievement in ODeL depends on aligning instructional resources with student needs, institutional goals, and emerging educational technologies. These intentional actions help to build a resilient and inclusive learning ecology.

References

- Al-Alwani, M. (2025). Identifying Key Challenges in Academic Institutions: Obstacles Impacting Performance and the Role of Universities in Solutions. *HumanArts*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.64401/6w5mrq10>
- Al Mokdad, Ali, (2025). The Aid Industrial Complex: Analyzing Power Structures, Dependency Dynamics, and Reform Pathways in a Disrupted Global Order <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5367117>
- Allam, H. M., Gyamfi, B., & AlOmar, B. (2025). Sustainable Innovation: Harnessing AI and Living Intelligence to Transform Higher Education. *Education Sciences*, 15(4), 398. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15040398>
- Amiri, S. M. H., Islam, M. M., & Akter, N. (2025). Rethinking Education Policy: Pathways to Equitable and Future-Ready Learning. Available at SSRN 5194153. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5194153>
- Amirudin, J. (2025). Global Pathways: Contrasts and Convergences in Higher Education Internationalization. *Sinergi International Journal of Education*, 3(1), 54-66. <https://doi.org/10.61194/education.v3i1.640>
- Asamoah, M.K., Ansong, J.D. (2025). Building resilience in higher education by addressing infrastructure and financial resource challenges in Ghana. *SN Soc Sci* 5, 78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-025-01110-z>
- Başaran, O., & Turan, S. (2025). Reimagining local solutions to global challenges in education: A cross-cultural examination. In *Cultural Awareness and Diversity Management in*

- Contemporary Education* (pp. 99-192). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-0402-1.ch004>
- Başaran, O., Özen, H., & Yeten, S. (2024). The Teacher Precariat: Implications and Policies in the Turkish Educational Landscape. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15700763.2024.2425365>
- Becher, T., & Kogan, M. (2025). *Process and structure in higher education*. Taylor & Francis.
- Childs, M., & Valeta, T. (2023). Digital pedagogies for open distance e-learning: Building engagement through adaptive technologies. *International Journal of Open and Distance Learning*, 38(2), 145–162. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680513.2023.2234567>
- Fan, D., Breslin, D., Callahan, J. L., & Iszatt-White, M. (2022). Advancing literature review methodology through rigour, generativity, scope and transparency. *International Journal of Management*.
- Garrison, D. R. (2017). *E-learning in the 21st century: A framework for research and practice* (3rd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315667263>
- Goel, A. (2025). *Beyond the Bottom Line: A New Era for Finance, Economics, and Sustainability*. Chyren Publication.
- Gunawan, W. B. (2025). Revisiting the Sustainable Development Goal 4 "Quality Education": Insights, Prospects, and Recommendations. *SAKAGURU: Journal of Pedagogy and Creative Teacher*, 2(1), 12-36. <https://doi.org/10.70211/sakaguru.v2i1.202>
- Haldar, S. (2025). *Sustainable Entrepreneurship and the Global South: Resolving the Energy, Economy, and Environment Trilemma in India*. Taylor & Francis.
- Harvey, L., & Williams, J. (2010). Fifteen years of quality in higher education. *Quality in Higher Education*, 16(1), 3–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13538321003679457>
- Hayes, K. N., Gladstone, J. R., Toven-Lindsey, B., & Bae, C. L. (2025). How do organizational conditions inform teachers' equity self-efficacy and implementation during professional development?. *Science education*, 109(1), 178-211. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21892>
- Hoque, K. E. (2025). *The Changing Roles of Educational Managers: Essential Skills in an Era of Rapid Change*. Springer Nature.
- Huang, Q., Lv, C., Lu, L., & Tu, S. (2025). Evaluating the Quality of AI-Generated Digital Educational Resources for University Teaching and Learning. *Systems*, 13(3), 174. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13030174>
- Isaeva, R., Karasartova, N., Dznunusnalieva, K., Mirzoeva, K., & Mokliuk, M. (2025). Enhancing Learning Effectiveness Through Adaptive Learning Platforms and Emerging Computer Technologies in Education. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Terapan Universitas Jambi*, 9(1), 144–160. <https://doi.org/10.22437/jiituj.v9i1.37967>
- Jansawang, N., Insumran, Y., & Kenaphoom, S. (2024). Quality Assurance for Lifelong Education: A Comprehensive Review. *ONESQA Quality Assurance in Education*

Journal, 1(1), 1–19. สืบค้น จาก <https://so16.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/onesqa/article/view/1074>

- Kalota, F., Boamah, B. F., Allam, H., Schisler, T., & Witty, G. (2025). Beyond Books: Student Perspectives on Emerging Technologies, Usability, and Ethics in the Library of the Future. *Publications*, 13(3), 32. <https://doi.org/10.3390/publications13030032>
- Kayyali, M. (2024). Quality Assurance in Online and Blended Learning Environments. In: Quality Assurance and Accreditation in Higher Education. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-66623-0_5
- Kimote, Z., & Mutunga, D. (2025). Digital Technology and Community Empowerment: Bridging The Rural-Knowledge Center Gap. <http://repository.kyu.ac.ke/123456789/1153>
- Kriewaldt, J., Hay, I., Rady, D., Schoeman, T., Ai, X., Sun, H., ... Kallo, A. (2025). "Resilient individuals; resilient societies": the role of geographical education in their development. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 34(3), 274–293. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10382046.2025.2485906>
- Lam, C. M. (2025). *Confucianism: An Approach to Education for Morality and Rationality*. BRILL.
- Lazarus, A. T., Zhang, L., Shitu, A. F., Wang, J., & Issifu, J. B. (2025). Decolonizing Global Education: A Sustainable Paradigm for Inclusive Knowledge Systems and Equitable Development in the 21st Century. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202504.0378.v1>
- Lynch, J., & Plunkett, H. D. (2025). *Teacher Education and Cultural Change: England, France, West Germany*. Taylor & Francis.
- Mamanazarov, S., Khajiyeva, M., Abdullaeva, D., Yuldasheva, G., Azkhodjaeva, R., Pirnazarov, A., & Xamedova, N. (2025). Innovating Human Capital Development: The Role of Education, Law, and Economics Through Digital Transformation. *Qubahan Academic Journal*, 5(3), 78–113. <https://doi.org/10.48161/qaj.v5n3a1698>
- Mabasa, P., & Baloyi, T. (2025). Aligning teaching resources with student needs in ODeL universities: A framework for sustainable excellence. *Journal of Distance Education and Technology*, 41(1), 25–39. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680513.2025.4512398>
- Marcen, C., López-Centeno, D., Martínez-Domínguez, E., & Lara-Sánchez, A. J. (2025). Sustainable Quality Education: Theoretical Foundations, Innovation and Structural Challenges in a Changing World. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202505.1946.v1>
- Makinen, R. W. (2025). *Rebooting Canada: A Fresh Start*. Friesen Press.
- Memon, F. N., & Memon, S. N. (2025). Digital divide and equity in education: Bridging gaps to ensure inclusive learning. In *Impact of Digitalization on Education and Social Sustainability* (pp. 107-130). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-1854-6.ch004>
- Moriña, A., & Perera, V. H. (2025). Promoting inclusive practices with emerging technologies in higher education: Faculty professional development through blended-learning. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 101019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2025.101019>

- Muldoon, O. T., Nightingale, A., McMahon, G., Griffin, S., Bradshaw, D., Lowe, R. D., & McLaughlin, K. (2024). Child sexual abuse and social identity loss: A qualitative analysis of survivors' public accounts. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 00, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12752>
- Mwansa, G., Ngandu, M.R. & Mkwambi, Z. (2025). Bridging the digital divide: exploring the challenges and solutions for digital exclusion in rural South Africa. *Discov glob soc* **3**, 54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44282-025-00189-2>
- Ncube, M. (2025). The Paradox of Diversity Initiatives: Structural Disadvantages for Global South Scholars in Academia. *JORMA International Journal of Health and Social Sciences*, 2(3), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.64074/ftgs9y42>
- Ncube, C. N., & Tawanda, T. (2025). Critical digital pedagogy for contemporary transformative practices in the Global South: a literature review. *Cogent Education*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2523133>
- Novaes, A. L. (2025). Enhancing sustainability education in higher education through simulation-based learning: integrating sustainable development goals. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-08-2024-0571>
- Pajvančić-Cizelj, A. (2025). *Spaces of Europeanisation in the Balkans: Cities, networks and urban Epistemic communities*. Taylor & Francis.
- Pervin, L., & Mokhtar, A. (2023). Challenges Encountered by Male Teachers in Classroom Management with the Prevalence of Teenage Pregnancies: A Study in Rural Secondary Schools. *Journal of Education Research*, 47(3), 215-230.
- Radović, M., Vučković, D., & Radišić, J. (2025). Digital Skills and Tools Supporting Distance Learning: A Comprehensive Response of Dinaric Education Systems in Times of Educational Disruption. In *Dinaric Perspectives on PIRLS 2021: Prerequisites and Conditions for Teaching and Learning to Read* (pp. 151-178). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-88002-5_7
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203710753>
- Schweisfurth, M. (2025). *Polarised Logics of Pedagogy: Crises, Contexts and Classrooms in the Global South*. Taylor & Francis.
- Saemaldaher, K., & Emeagwali, O. L. (2025). The Role of Open Innovation in Enhancing Organizational Resilience and Sustainability Performance Through Organizational Adaptability. *Sustainability*, 17(13), 5846. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17135846>
- Singun, A. (2025). Unveiling the barriers to digital transformation in higher education institutions: a systematic literature review. *Discov Educ* **4**, 37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00430-9>

- Shih, Y.-H., Hsu, M. C., & Chang, C. L. (2025). Sustainability Transformations in Higher Education: Global Perspectives on The Challenges and Solutions. *International Journal of Education and Humanities*, 5(1), 126–139. [https://doi.org/10.58557/\(ijeh\).v5i1.290](https://doi.org/10.58557/(ijeh).v5i1.290)
- Soni, K. M., Hasteer, N., Bhardwaj, A., Sindhvani, R., & Davim, J. P. (Eds.). (2025). *AI Based Solutions for Inclusive Quality Education*. CRC Press.
- Stutchbury, K., Ebubedike, M., Amos, S., & Chamberlain, L. (2023). Professional development in the digital age: supporting improvements in teacher education through MOOCs. *Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning*, 40(1), 67–90. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680513.2023.2195875>
- Tafese, M. B., & Kopp, E. (2025). Embedding Social Sustainability in Education: A Thematic Review of Practices and Trends Across Educational Pathways from a Global Perspective. *Sustainability*, 17(10), 4342. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17104342>
- Tara, A. N., Fraz, L., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Revolutionizing Higher Education: Pedagogical Innovation and Quality Enhancement in the University Environment. *Journal of Educational Research and Social Sciences Review (JERSSR)*, 3(4), 41-49.
- Tariq, M. U. (2025). Sustainability of Quality Processes in Higher Education: Strategies for Continuous Improvement. In *Higher Education and Quality Assurance Practices* (pp. 305-334). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6765-0.ch011>
- Tavares, V. (Ed.). (2025). *Global South-North Dichotomies in Higher Education: Critical Dialogue, Reflection and Collaboration*. Taylor & Francis.
- Ude, J. (2025). Enhancing student belonging and academic success through inclusive residential programming in multicultural higher education environments. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0725.2642>
- Velmurugan, R., Sudarvel, J., Bhuvanewari, R., & Thirumalaisamy, R. (2025). Professional development focused on teaching and learning in online environments. In *Enhancing the scholarship of teaching and learning in online learning environments* (pp. 95-118). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7595-2.ch005>
- Vuong, Q. H., Nguyen, M. H., & La, V. P. (2025). *Towards an Eco-surplus Culture: From Market Failures to Vulnerabilities and Logical Flaws of 'Artificial' Environmental Protection Systems*. *Visions for Sustainability*, 24 (12178), 1-68.